

Original Research Article

Physical and sensory characteristics of cookies prepared with guava and chia seed flours

ABSTRACT

Guavas are frequently referred to be superfruits due to their abundance in dietary fibre, omega-3 and 6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the seeds, and vitamins A and C in the pericarp. Chia seeds are also one of the foods with excellent nutritional value, outstanding omega-3 fatty acid quality, gluten-free protein, and a high level of antioxidants that protect the seeds from microbial and chemical deterioration. An experimental study was carried out to study the effect of different incorporation ratio of wheat, guava and chia seed flours on physical and sensory attributes of cookies. Mass, diameter, volume and spread ratio increased whereas thickness decreased by substituting wheat flour with guava flour. As per composition of flours, the T4 composition (incorporated with 20% wheat flour, 70% guava flour and 10% chia flour) in cookies had highest overall acceptability score and were more acceptable by the panel. Findings showed that on the basis of sensorial analysis, storage period had slight effect on the overall acceptability of cookies.

Keywords: Superfruits, chia seeds, antioxidants, omega-3 & 6 fatty acids, overall acceptability.

1. INTRODUCTION

The tropical fruits drying can be an excellent alternative to make their shelf-life longer and utilization easier. It allows conversion of perishable materials into stabilized products by lowering water activity to appropriate levels, thus preventing microbial spoilage and quality deterioration due to undesirable biochemical reactions [1,2].

The guava has various varieties such as Allahabad Safeda (roundish), Lucknow 49 (roundish Ovate), and seedless fruits, while Banarsi (round), Apple colour (spherical), Chitridar (sub-globose), Behat coconut (round), Safed jam (roundish), Hafshi (spherical), etc. are considered to be excellent [3]. Allahabad Safeda, Lucknow-49, Chittidar, Nagpur Seedless, Bangalore, Dharwar, Akra Midula, Arka Amulya, Harijha, Allahabad Surkha CISHG -1, CISHG-2, CISHG-3., etc. are the popular varieties grown in India [4,5].

Comment [G1]: Los géneros y especies van en letra cursiva. Mejorar la redacción de la primera oración.

The use of chia may be in the form of whole seeds, mucilage, flour and oil seed [6]. Chia seed is an excellent source of omega-3/omega-6 fatty acids, soluble dietary fiber and contains appreciable amount of proteins and phytochemicals. It thus has nutritional attributes which support the prevention of several non-communicable diseases such as hypertension, obesity, cardio-vascular disease (CVD's), cancer and diabetes [7,8].

Consumption of snack foods has been on the increase as a result of urbanization and increase in the number of working people. Cookies have become one of the most desirable snacks for both youth and elderly people due to their low manufacturing cost, convenience, long shelf life and ability to serve as a vehicle for important nutrients [9,10]. It represents the largest category of snack items among baked food products throughout the world [11]. This study aims to find the effect of different compositions of wheat, guava and chia seed flours on the physical properties and sensory evaluation of cookies

This study was aimed to develop a cookie formulation by supplementing guava and chia seed flours with wheat flour having good acceptability and evaluate its effect on the physical and sensory characteristics of cookies produced.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Preparation

Guava and chia seed flours were prepared by method [12]. Raw materials i.e., wheat flour, guava flour, chia seed flour, milk powder and baking powder were weighed and sieved together in predetermined proportions so that all the ingredients get thoroughly mixed. Fat and jaggery powder was creamed together using a whipping machine and added to the dry flours mix. Kneading was done with water to make dough. Dough was rolled and desired shape was cut using a cookie cutter and baked in the oven at 180°C for 18 minutes. Cookies were then cooled and packed in aluminium laminated pouches at room temperature. Wheat flour, guava flour and chia seed flour were mixed as per the following treatments.

Treatments:

- T₀: Wheat flour (90%) and chia seed flour (10%) (control)
- T₁: Wheat flour (80%), guava flour (10%) and chia seed flour (10%)
- T₂: Wheat flour (60%), guava flour (30%) and chia seed flour (10%)
- T₃: Wheat flour (40%), guava flour (50%) and chia seed flour (10%)
- T₄: Wheat flour (20%), guava flour (70%) and chia seed flour (10%)
- T₅: Guava flour (90%) and chia seed flour (10%)

2.2 Physical Properties

Comment [G2]: Considero que en el último párrafo deberían poner los dos objetivos, correctamente formulados.

Cookies diameter (D) and thickness (T) were determined using verniercallipers, while cookies mass were measured as average of values of five individual cookies with the help of electronic balance. Volume of cookie was measured as the area of cookies multiplied by its thickness [13]. Spread ratio was expressed as diameter/thickness (D/T). The average values of 3 replicate determinations were reported.

2.3 Sensory Test

The sensory test was carried out just after the preparation and on interval of 1 month up to 90 days. A panel consisting of both genders more than 10 panelists of different age group having different eating habits was constituted to evaluate the color, taste, appearance, texture and overall acceptability. A hedonic scale 1–9 was used to evaluate the samples [14] in which a score of 1 represents the attributes most disliked while a score of 9 represents the attributes most liked. Scores around 5 are considered acceptable [2].

2.4 Statistical Analysis

To test the significance of the effect of treatment and storage period on quality parameters, analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the collected data for different properties was carried out as applicable to experiments of randomized design of the data record. Data was analyzed with the help of MS Excel Spreadsheet and SPSS software. The analysis of samples was carried out at 5% level of significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Physical Properties of Cookies

The result of physical properties of cookies produced from wheat, guava and chia seed flour blends is presented in **Table 1**. The mass and diameter of the cookies significantly ($p < 0.01$) increased with addition of guava flour from the control samples of 90% wheat flour and 10% chia flour. The lowest mass and diameter of 5.03 g and 3.22 cm were recorded for control cookies (T_0) whereas T_5 cookies measured highest values 5.40 g and 3.67 cm respectively. The increase in weight possibly could be due to the higher bulk density of the blend [15]. Similar findings were found by [16] in which they observed increasing mass and diameter of cookies on addition of cocoyam and African yam bean flours in wheat flour due to their higher bulk densities. The high diameter of the cookies made using fibrous flours in the blend are likely due to the high fibre content of the guava compared to that made using wheat flour. This finding is in agreement with the observation of [17], who reported increase in cookie diameter with addition of sorghum flour to wheat flour. The thickness of the cookies varied from 0.83-1.03 cm and significantly decreased ($p < 0.01$) with increase in the incorporation ratio of guava flour. The high water absorption capacity of fibre can draw in more water,

causing the viscosity of the dough to decrease and thus the thickness to decrease [18]. The spread ratio varied from 3.12-4.42 and increased significantly ($p < 0.01$) with increase in guava flour ratio with control cookies from 90% wheat and 10% chia seed flours recording the lowest value of 3.12 while the cookies from 90% guava and 10% chia seed flours recorded the highest value of 4.42. The increase in the spread ratio could be attributed to the increased number of hydrophilic sites in the dough mixture leading to increased water absorption and swelling index. The rise in the spread ratio may be related to the dough mixture's enhanced hydrophilic sites, which increase water absorption and swelling index [16]. Similar findings were observed by [19] who prepared acha-guava flour blend biscuits. The volume for different incorporation ratio of wheat flour and guava flour cookies increased were found to be significant at $p < 0.01$ level of significance.

3.2 Sensory Scores of Cookies

During the storage time sensory evaluation was done in the time interval of 30 days up to 90 days. All the sensory observations were shown in the **Table 2**. Color of developed cookies was not much affected during the storage period of 90 days. Sample T_0 cookies got highest average value for color 8.02 and sample T_5 got the least score 7.10. The color of cookie samples decreased with increasing substitution level of guava flour with wheat flour. However color development of baked products is also caused by Maillard reactions between sugars and proteins [20]. Sample T_4 got the highest score for taste 8.72 whereas lowest taste acceptance score was obtained by T_0 8.10. In case of texture, T_4 cookies got a highest score of 7.85 and lowest score was observed for T_5 with 6.90. The overall acceptability scores ranged from 7.35 to 7.95 before storage and after storage of three months it changed to a range of 7.02 to 7.66. T_4 having wheat flour (20%), guava flour (70%) and chia seed flour (10%) reported the highest acceptability of 7.95. After the sensory analysis it was found that all the organoleptic scores of the product samples were affected by the three months storage conditions but all were acceptable.

4. CONCLUSION

The cookies made with the flour blends of wheat, guava and chia seed competed favorably with the cookies produced from wheat flour and chia flour. Incorporation of guava flour improved the physical properties of the cookies and also obtained good sensory scores. Therefore, using it to make cookies will significantly lessen reliance on wheat flour for cookie production. These crops might be used to make cookies, which would boost their production and consumption as well as reduce post harvest losses of guava in underdeveloped nations.

Table 1. Physical properties of cookies

*Significant
($p \leq 0.05$),
**Highly
significant
($p < 0.01$),
Treatment
along the
columns
with
different
superscripts
(a - f)
differedsign
ificantly at
($p \leq 0.05$)

Samples	Physical Properties of Cookies (Mean \pm SEM)					
	N	Mass (g)	Diameter (cm)	Thickness (cm)	Volume (cm ³)	Spread Ratio
T ₀	3	5.03 ^a \pm 0.012	3.22 ^a \pm 0.007	1.03 ^e \pm 0.006	8.38 ^a \pm 0.009	3.12 ^a \pm 0.006
T ₁	3	5.09 ^b \pm 0.009	3.34 ^b \pm 0.012	0.97 ^d \pm 0.012	8.54 ^b \pm 0.024	3.45 ^b \pm 0.015
T ₂	3	5.15 ^c \pm 0.009	3.45 ^c \pm 0.018	0.92 ^c \pm 0.012	8.60 ^{bc} \pm 0.024	3.75 ^c \pm 0.015
T ₃	3	5.22 ^d \pm 0.012	3.52 ^d \pm 0.019	0.89 ^b \pm 0.015	8.66 ^{cd} \pm 0.020	3.96 ^d \pm 0.015
T ₄	3	5.29 ^e \pm 0.013	3.61 ^e \pm 0.012	0.85 ^{ab} \pm 0.007	8.70 ^d \pm 0.019	4.24 ^e \pm 0.012
T ₅	3	5.40 ^f \pm 0.006	3.67 ^f \pm 0.015	0.83 ^a \pm 0.012	8.78 ^e \pm 0.026	4.42 ^f \pm 0.017
Overall	18	5.20 \pm 0.030	3.47 \pm 0.038	0.92 \pm 0.017	8.61 \pm 0.032	3.82 \pm 0.108
F Value		165.305**	140.611**	46.903**	43.977**	1273.251**

Descr

ption

T₀ = Wheat Flour (90%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)

T₁ = Wheat Flour (80%), Guava Flour (10%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)

T₂ = Wheat Flour (60%), Guava Flour (30%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)

T₃ = Wheat Flour (40%), Guava Flour (50%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)

T₄ = Wheat Flour (20%), Guava Flour (70%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)

T₅ = Guava Flour (90%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)

Table 2. Sensory properties of cookies

Samples	Color				Taste				Appearance				Texture				Overall Acceptability			
	0 day	30 days	60 days	90 days	0 day	30 days	60 days	90 days	0 day	30 days	60 days	90 days	0 day	30 days	60 days	90 days	0 day	30 days	60 days	90 days
T₀	8.02	7.92	7.78	7.60	7.12	7.11	7.11	7.09	7.12	7.11	7.11	7.09	7.07	7.00	6.88	6.50	7.57	7.51	7.43	7.24
	±0.17	±0.38	±0.41	±0.65	±0.53	±0.61	±0.10	±0.16	±0.53	±0.61	±0.10	±0.16	±0.25	±0.10	±0.65	±0.67	±0.55	±0.13	±0.52	±0.80
T₁	7.90	7.81	7.79	7.72	7.30	7.29	7.29	7.26	7.30	7.29	7.29	7.26	7.23	7.10	6.95	6.76	7.68	7.62	7.53	7.44
	±0.32	±0.40	±0.10	±0.69	±0.67	±0.45	±0.63	±0.41	±0.67	±0.45	±0.63	±0.41	±0.50	±0.16	±0.28	±0.69	±0.47	±0.65	±0.11	±0.58
T₂	7.68	7.59	7.42	7.34	7.59	7.59	7.59	7.58	7.59	7.59	7.59	7.58	7.41	7.25	7.08	6.90	7.78	7.71	7.61	7.50
	±0.55	±0.50	±0.38	±0.13	±0.59	±0.57	±0.80	±0.32	±0.59	±0.57	±0.80	±0.32	±0.69	±0.65	±0.30	±0.13	±0.38	±0.45	±0.41	±0.61
T₃	7.35	7.30	7.23	7.09	7.82	7.81	7.80	7.79	7.82	7.81	7.80	7.79	7.64	7.50	7.32	7.03	7.85	7.79	7.71	7.55
	±0.67	±0.45	±0.28	±0.64	±0.82	±0.82	±0.50	±0.45	±0.82	±0.82	±0.50	±0.45	±0.40	±0.92	±0.45	±0.32	±0.67	±0.64	±0.30	±0.53
T₄	7.27	7.12	6.96	6.75	7.99	7.98	7.97	7.96	7.99	7.98	7.97	7.96	7.85	7.80	7.61	7.42	7.95	7.89	7.78	7.66
	±0.76	±0.16	±0.25	±0.92	±0.60	±0.53	±0.53	±0.40	±0.60	±0.53	±0.53	±0.40	±0.84	±0.17	±0.50	±0.41	±0.92	±0.43	±0.76	±0.73
T₅	7.10	6.90	6.72	6.50	6.88	6.87	6.86	6.84	6.88	6.87	6.86	6.84	6.90	6.76	6.55	6.47	7.35	7.26	7.14	7.02
	±0.47	±0.76	±0.55	±0.13	±0.51	±0.63	±0.55	±0.19	±0.51	±0.63	±0.55	±0.19	±0.38	±0.25	±0.30	±0.16	±1.69	±0.92	±0.67	±0.68

Description

- T₀ = Wheat Flour (90%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)
- T₁ = Wheat Flour (80%), Guava Flour (10%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)
- T₂ = Wheat Flour (60%), Guava Flour (30%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)
- T₃ = Wheat Flour (40%), Guava Flour (50%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)
- T₄ = Wheat Flour (20%), Guava Flour (70%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)
- T₅ = Guava Flour (90%), Chia Seed Flour (10%)

REFERENCES

1. **Gould WP, Raga A, Jorge Pe.** Pests of guava. In: Peña JE, Sharp JL, Wysoki M (eds) Tropical fruit pests and pollinators: biology, economic importance, natural enemies and control. CABIPublishing, Oxon/UK, **2002**, pp 295–313.
2. **UchoaAMA, da Costa JMC, MaiaGA, MeiraTR, Sousa PHM, Brasil IM.** Formulation and physicochemical and sensorial evaluation of biscuit-type cookies supplemented with fruit powders. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr*, **2009**, 64:153–159.
3. **Sahu M, Pandey S, Bharti S.** Tropical fruit apple of the poor's people (*Psidiumguajava L.*). *International Journal of Life-Sciences Scientific Research*, **2016**, 2(5):548-551.
4. **Chavan, UD, Shaik, JB.** Standardization and preparation of guava leather. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences*, **2015**, 2(11):102–113.
5. **Pradhan N, Rani R, David J.** A review on utility of an astonishing fruit: psidiumguajava (guava). *Journal of Science and Technology*, **2021**, 6(1):60-72.
6. **Da Silva Marineli, R, Moraes ÉA, Lenquiste SA, Godoy AT, Eberlin MN, Marostica Jr MR.** Chemical characterization and antioxidant potential of Chilean chia seeds and oil (*Salvia hispanicaL.*). *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, **2014**, 59:1304-1310.
7. **Suri S, Passi SJ, Goyat J.** Chia seed (*Salvia hispanicaL.*)—a new age functional food. 4th International Conference on Recent Innovations in Science Engineering and Management, **2016**, 752-760.
8. **FeddahEK, Hijazi MA, Aly MM.** Shelf-stability of gluten free cakes produced from quinoa flour and chia gel. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences*, 14(3 Ser. II) (May – June **2019**):07-12.
9. **Akubor P.** Functional properties of performance of cow pea/plantain/wheat flour blends in biscuits. *Plant Foods Human Nutrition*, **2003**, 58(3):1-8.
10. **Honda S, Jood S.** Organoleptic and nutritional evaluation of wheat biscuits supplemented with untreated and treated fenugreek flour. *Food Chemistry*. **2005**, 90(3):427-435.
11. **Pratima A, Yadav MC.** Effect of incorporation of liquid dairy by-product on chemical characteristic of soy fortified biscuits. *Journal of Food science and technology*. **2000**, 37(2):158-61.

12. **Mudgal D, Singh S, Puja, Chauhan N, Singh J, Kumar V, Singh BR.** Study of functional, color attributes and storage effect on physicochemical properties of guava and chia seed flours. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **2023**, 12(4):2514-2518.
13. **Jemziya, F. and Mahendran, T. (2017).** Physical quality characters of cookies produced from composite blends of wheat and sweet potato flour. *Ruhuna J. of Science*. 8:12-23.
14. **Peryam DR, Pilgrim PJ.** Hedonic scale method for measuring food preferences. *Food Technol*, **1957**, 11:9–14.
15. **Zucco F, Borsuk Y, Arntfield SD.** Physical and nutritional evaluation of wheat cookies supplemented with pulse flours of different particle sizes. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, **2011**, 44(10):2070–2076.
16. **Igbabul BD, Iorliam BM, Umana EN.** Physicochemical and Sensory Properties of Cookies Produced From Composite Flours of Wheat, Cocoyam and African Yam Beans. *Journal of Food Research*, **2015**, 4(2):150-158.
17. **Aljobair MO.** Physicochemical properties and sensory attributes of cookies prepared from sorghum and millet composite flour. *Food Science and Nutrition*, **2022**, 10(10):3415-3423.
18. **Jamilah B, Shu CE, Kharidah M, Dzulkifly MA, Noranizan A.** Physico-chemical characteristics of red pitaya (*Hylocereus polyrhizus*) peel. *International Food Research Journal*, **2011**, 18:279–286.
19. **Ayo JA, Johnson RG.** Chemical, Photochemical Composition, Physical and Sensory Qualities of Acha-Guava Flour Blends and Biscuits. *Nutrition & Food Science International Journal*, **2018**, 8(1):1-8.
20. **Lingnert, H. (1990).** Development of the Maillard reaction during food processing. In P. A. Finot (Ed.) *Maillard reaction in food processing, Human Nutri. Physiol.*, (pp: 171). Basel: BirkhauserVerlag.