

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_105951
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical and sensory characteristics of cookies prepared with guava and chia seed flours
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Considero que el manuscrito es importante para la comunidad científica por el uso de ingredientes nutricionalmente importantes, de fácil acceso y subaprovechados que se incluyen en la formulación de galletas, alimentos muy populares, reduciendo la cantidad de harina de trigo.</p> <p>2- El título es adecuado.</p> <p>3- Si, el resumen es comprensible, claro, de longitud adecuada.</p> <p>4- Los subtítulos y la estructura del trabajo están correctos.</p> <p>5- El manuscrito es científicamente correcto.</p> <p>6- Las referencias son suficientes aunque reemplazaría aquellas anteriores al 2010.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- Mi lengua maternal no es el Inglés, pero considero que el lenguaje utilizado en el artículo es el indicado.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Revisar párrafo 2 de la INTRODUCCION: no está claro y los nombres científicos deben escribirse con letra cursiva.</p> <p>Revisar objetivos. Primero plantean uno y luego otro. Al final del penúltimo párrafo de la Introducción plantean uno y en el último párrafo otro.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gisela Lucia Fabiani
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Santiago Del Estero, Argentina