

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Research Journal of Agriculture   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ARJA_105231  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | COMPARISON OF SOME in-vitro ANTIOXIDANT PROPERTIES OF Cola acuminata AND Cola nitida FRUIT TESTAS |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The comparison of in-vitro antioxidant properties of Cola acuminata and Cola nitida fruit testas has multifaceted implications ranging from human health to biodiversity conservation, traditional medicine validation, and scientific advancement. This research can inform various sectors, including nutrition, medicine, and agriculture, and can contribute to the overall understanding of the potential benefits of these plant species.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>Need to Check the Grammar and Sentence Structure in the introduction part.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Nil</p>  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                           |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>G. Krishnaveni</b>     |
| Department, University & Country | <b>KBN College, India</b> |