

Review Article

Crop Residues Management: A Viable Tool for Sustainable Agriculture

Abstract:

The crop residue, traditionally considered as ~~trash-or~~ agricultural waste, is increasingly being viewed as a valuable resource. If the current trend continues, crop residue will be a “co-product” of grain production where both the grain and the residue have significant value. Potentially gross quantities of over 500 Mt crop residues are available in India on annual basis and generated by various crops. Due to the scarcity of alternative organic amendments, the retention of crop residue in fields can be considered key in promoting physical, chemical, and biological attributes of soil in agricultural systems of developing countries. The stem, leaves, chaffs, husk etc, that remain in the fields after crops are harvested, plays a critical role in soil quality and environmental issues since they are primary inputs of elemental carbon (C) into soil system. About 25% N, 25% P, 75% K and 50% S uptake by cereal crops are retained in residues, making them valuable sources of nutrients.

Key Words: Crop Residues, Sustainability, Soil Properties, Productivity, Soil Biology

Introduction:

Apart from grain (economical produce), crops generate huge amount of residue. The crop residue, traditionally considered as ~~trash-or~~ agricultural waste, is increasingly being viewed as a valuable resource. Crop residues should be seen not as wastes but as providers of essential environmental services, assuring the perpetuation of productive agro ecosystems. If the current trend continues, crop residue will be a “co-product” of grain production where both the grain and the residue have significant value. Potentially a gross quantity of over 500 Mt ~~of~~ crop residues is available in India on annual basis ~~from the-that are~~ generated by 26 crops. Due to the scarcity of alternative organic amendments, the retention of crop residue in fields can be considered ~~as~~ key in promoting physical, chemical, and biological attributes of soil health in agricultural systems of developing countries. However, due to multiple other uses, small landholders in these countries are faced with trade-offs in managing crop residues. Soil organic matter is one of the primary contributors to soil quality. Crop residues are precursors to soil organic matter (SOM). The stem, leaves, chaffs, husk that remain in the fields after crops are harvested, plays a critical role in soil quality and environmental issues

since they are primary inputs of elemental carbon (C) into soil system. About 25% nitrogen, 25% phosphorus, 75% potassium and 50% sulphur uptake by cereal crops are retained in residues, making them valuable sources of nutrients.

Review of literature:

Effect of Crop Residues Management on Crop Productivity:

~~This review reveals that~~The crop residues of common cultivated crops are an important resource not only as a source of significant quantities of nutrients for crop production but also affecting soil physical, chemical, and biological functions and properties and water and soil quality. When crop residues are returned to the soils, their decomposition can have both positive and negative effects on crop production and the environment. Our aim as agricultural scientists is to increase the positive effects. This can only be achieved with the better understanding of residue, soil, and management factors and their interactions, which affect the decomposition and nutrient release processes. ~~Studies on~~ The effect of various crop residues on corn yield and selected soil properties ~~and have~~ reported that the application of previous crop residues @ 150 % significantly improved ~~Corn-corn~~ grain yields (4900kg/ha @ 150 %), ~~Bray-bray~~ phosphate (20.30 mg/kg), NO₃-N (8.10 mg/kg), ~~Mineralizable-mineralizable~~ Nitrogen-(82.30 ~~Autoelave~~ kg/ha) as compared to ~~without-no~~ residues ~~treatment-incorporation~~ in corn-sorghum-soyabean cropping system [1]. The application of FYM @10 t/ha along with rice straw incorporation significantly increased wheat grain yield and it was at par with application of FYM @10t/ha. The 100 % rice straw incorporation along with RDF produced significantly higher grain yield of wheat and maize than residue removal or burning [2]. ~~The grain &-and~~ straw yield of rice and wheat increased with RDF along with FYM and incorporation of rice and wheat residues as compared to residues removed [3]. Application of wheat straw incorporation @ 5 t/ha along with sesbaniya green manuring @ 6 t/ha significantly increased maize and wheat grain yield and it was at par with sesbaniya green manuring @ 6 t/ha [4]. Ding *et al.* (2012) studied ~~that~~ the grain yield of wheat, maize and ~~Soyabean-soyabean~~ under different fertilization treatments ~~they-and~~ revealed that significantly highest wheat, maize and ~~Soyabean-soyabean~~ yield ~~was~~ found under application of RDF + 200 % ~~Crop-crop~~ residues and it was at par with RDF + 100 % ~~Crop-crop~~ residues and RDF + application of manure @ 5 t/ha [5]. An- experiment to study the effect of integrated sugarcane trash management practices on germination % and yield of sugarcane ~~-The result~~ revealed that integrated sugarcane trash management practices significantly increased germination % and yield of sugarcane crop [6]. The effect of wheat residue management and fertilizer levels on yield attributes and yield of summer pearl millet ~~-The result of experiment~~ revealed that significantly the highest grain yield, straw yield and test weight was found with the treatment

Comment [H1]: Meaning not clear

of (100 % wheat straw incorporate in soil + decomposer fungal consortia 1 lit/t + 25 kg N/ha) [7]. Sharma *et al.* (2018) reported that application of 50 % RDF + sorghum straw @ 6 t/ha resulted in significantly higher sorghum and cow pea grain yield, FC, PWP, AWC of soil and it was at par with the application of 50 % RDF + sorghum straw @ 4 t/ha. Increased yield of green gram, along with higher net returns and B:C ratio was found when wheat residues were incorporated with a fungal consortium [8]. The residue incorporation in all tillage systems like zero, reduced and conventional systems resulted in significantly improved wheat grain yield, productivity of wheat and rice, OC content of soil and B:C ratio under rice-wheat cropping system [9]. The crop establishment and residue management (CERM) impact on grain yield in rice-chickpea, rice-lentil and rice-safflower, rice-linseed, rice-mustard production systems they revealed that ZTDSR (zero-till direct seeded rice) along with crop residue retention can be viable production systems with higher productivity [10].

Effect of Crop Residues Management on Soil Properties:

The minimum tillage + ~~Residues-residues~~ retained ~~treatment~~ significantly increased ~~Water-water~~ holding capacity, ~~Microbial-microbial~~ biomass carbon and ~~Microbial-microbial~~ biomass nitrogen whereas BD significantly decreased, ~~however and the~~ performance of this treatment was at par with ~~Conventional-conventional~~ tillage + ~~Residues-residues~~ retained treatment [11]. The soil organic carbon content in rice-wheat cropping system ~~and~~ established that application of ~~Rice-rice~~ straw incorporation (100 %) + ~~Green-green~~ manuring significantly increased soil organic carbon (%) and it was at par with application of wheat straw incorporation (100 %) + Green manuring [12]. The that total porosity, MWD and WSA significantly increased while BD significantly decreased due to Straw incorporation + FYM treatment as compared to straw burning and control treatment in rice wheat cropping system [13]. The effect of tillage and ~~Residue-residue Management-management~~ on Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) and Microbial biomass carbon (MBC) in maize-mustard cropping system. The result revealed that residue incorporation in zero tillage system significantly increased soil organic carbon (SOC) and microbial biomass carbon (MBC) under maize mustard cropping system [14]. The benefits of sugarcane trash farming system and its impact on soil fertility in different sugarcane growing region of the Philippines, ~~They~~ revealed that burned cane equals loss of soil N at an average of 44 kg N/ha/yr. Some of the P and K can also be lost through burning. In trash farming, P uptake appears more efficient as the mulch protects the soil from desiccation and permits root proliferation in the soil surface where P levels are high. Mulching permits a greater recycling of P from residues than burning, and suggests that lower P fertilization rates could be used to maintain productivity on sites where trash farming is practiced. Trash farming not only helps conserve organic matter in the soil during the

decomposition process but encourages N fixation in the sugarcane litter. Robertson and Thorburn (2007) found that organic C and total N increased over 21% because of the presence of trash (no burning) at 10 to 25 cm depth after 3 to 6 years of management. Microbial activity was also much higher. Most of the C in trash was metabolized and lost as CO₂ to the atmosphere. Increased mineralization of N in trash does not follow stimulation of the initial microbial activity due to trash; initially, N is immobilized. Estimates by these authors of possible C and N gains in soils after long-term trash maintenance (20 to 30 years) are: 8 to 15% organic C; 9 to 24% total N, and a 37 kg·ha⁻¹·year⁻¹ increase in inorganic N. These authors also suggested that fertilization with N should not be decreased in the first 6 years of trash maintenance [12]. The soil ~~Hydrolysable~~ ~~hydrolysable~~ and non-hydrolysable C pools and their proportion in SOC after 21 years of different fertilization treatments. ~~They~~ revealed that application of recommended dose of fertilizer along with organic manure @ 5t/ha significantly increased ~~Hydrolysable~~ ~~hydrolysable~~ C pool I, whereas Hydrolysable C pool II Non-hydrolysable C pool significantly increased with application of recommended dose of fertilizer along with double straw management and it was at par with application of recommended dose of fertilizer along with organic manure @ 5t/ha in rice wheat cropping system [5]. The integrated sugarcane trash management practices (decomposes trash using microbial enriched (*Trichoderma viridae*) farm yard manure and urea @75 kg/ha) significantly increased soil organic carbon, available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash [6]. The application of 50 % RDF + ~~Sorghum~~ ~~sorghum~~ straw @ 6 t/ha resulted in significantly increased available N, P, K and organic carbon status of soil and biological activity like microbial biomass carbon and dehydrogenase activity whereas soil bulk density decreased [13]. The comparative study of residues retention and residues removal in rice-wheat-maize cropping system. ~~The result~~ revealed that soil chemical properties ~~and~~ (pH, OC, N, P, and K) and ~~Coarse~~ ~~coarse~~ macro-aggregated carbon, ~~Coarse~~ ~~coarse~~ ~~Mesomeso~~-aggregated carbon, ~~Coarse~~ ~~coarse~~ micro-aggregated carbon significantly increased with residues retention practices compared to residues removed [14]. The significantly decreased bulk density, increased infiltration rate, aggregated stability and organic carbon under ~~crop~~ residue incorporation + green manuring treatment which remained at par with incorporation of crop residue. Significantly highest available NPK, microbial and enzymatic activity found under crop residue incorporation + green manuring treatment over crop residue removed and burned. [15]. Studies on ~~in~~ situ recycling of sugarcane trash and industrial wastes on soil properties. ~~They~~ revealed that application of sugarcane trash @ 6 t/ha + BME @ 50 M³/ha and adjustment of remaining fertilizer dose through chemical fertilizers, the bulk density decreased, hydraulic conductivity, aggregate stability, status of soil organic carbon, N, P, K

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Comment [H2]: Sentence not clear

Formatted: Font: Italic

and CaCO₃ as well as soil microbial and enzymatic activity significantly increased compared to recommended dose of fertilizer application alone [16].

Effect of Crop Residues Management on Economics:

The application of ~~Rice-rice~~ straw incorporation @ 5 t/ha+ ~~Cellulosecellulose~~-decomposing micro-organisms @ 2 ml/kg of straw + ~~Earthworm-earthworm~~ culture @ 2 kg/t +~~Lime-lime~~ @ 1 t /ha & FYM @ 2.5 t/ha gave higher benefit cost ratio in wheat [17]. The integrated sugarcane trash management practices (decomposes trash using microbial enriched (*Trichoderma viridae*) farm yard manure and urea @75 kg/ha) gave higher gross income, net income and B: C ratio [18]. The ~~-~~incorporation of rice residues in zero tillage system gave higher gross return, net return and B: C ratio in wheat crop under rice-wheat cropping system [19]. The application of crop residues @ 4 t/ha gave higher gross return, net return and B: C ratio in maize [20].

Conclusion:

From the foregoing discussion, it can be concluded that long term crop residues management increased productivity, soil organic matter, nutrients and microbial population. The most viable option is to incorporate residue in the field, while burning should be avoided. Crop residues management is a low cost input ~~helps to minimize-minimizing~~ the rate of fertilizer application to the crops and increase the water and nutrient use efficiency. A crop residue is also a cost-effective option for minimizing agriculture's impact on the environment and optimizes crop yields.

References:

1. Power J. F., Paul Koerner and John W. D. 1998). Residual effects of crop residues on grain production and selected soil properties. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 62:1393-1397.
2. Mandal, K. G., Misra, A. K., Hati, K. M., Bandyopadhyay, K. K., Ghosh, P. K. and Mohanty, M. 2004. Grain yield of wheat and maize as influenced by crop residue management practices (Rice residue). *Food Agriculture & Environment*. 2(1): 224-231.
3. Kumar, B., Babalad, H. B and Phalke D. H. 2016. *residues management in wheat and rice cropping system*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science* . 6(11): 2279-2286.

4. Jat, L. K., Singh, H., Sethi, I., Barod, N. K. and Kumar S (2010). Grain and biological yield of maize and wheat influenced by organic sources. *Forage Research*, 13(4): 379-384.
5. Ding X., Han X., Liang Y., Qiao Y., Li L., Li N. 2012. Changes in soil organic carbon pools after continuous manuring combined with chemical fertilizer in a Mollisol in China. *Soil Tillage Research*.122:36-41.
6. Suma R and Savitha C. M. 2015. Integrated Sugarcane Trash Management: A Novel Technology for Sustaining Soil Health and Sugarcane Yield. *Adv Crop Sci Tech*. 3(160)1-4.
7. Patel S. A., Chaudhary P. P and Chaudhary H. L. 2018. Effect of wheat residue management and fertilizer levels on growth, yield attributes and yield of summer pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] under north Gujarat condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 7(6): 1292-1295.
8. Sharma, K. L; Sammi, K. G. and Reddy, C. R. 2018. Soil quality management through conservation agriculture and other practices for higher productivity in rainfed agriculture amidst climate change. *Indian Journal of Dry Land Agriculture Research*,. 33(1): 64-74.
9. Meena, H. N.; Rana, K. S.; Kumar, A. and Jadhav, A. 2018. A. Influence of crop residue and potassium management on growth, yield and economics of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) in maize-wheat- mungbean cropping system. *Annals of Agriculture Research*. 39(2): 165-171.
10. Singh R., Sharma G., Pankaj K., Singh S. K. and Singh R. 2019. Effect of Crop residue management in rice-wheat system on soil physicochemical properties, soil microbial and enzymatic activities. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*. 37(6):1-6.
11. Rakesh Kumar, Mishra J. S., Santosh M and Surajit M. 2022. Comprehensive environmental impact assessment for designing carbon-cum-energy efficient, cleaner and eco-friendly production system for rice-fallow agro-ecosystems of South Asia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 331:129-139.
12. Kushwaha, C. P., Tripathi, S. K., Singh, K. P. 2000. Effect of Tillage and Residue Retention on Soil Properties and Crop Yields in Wheat-Mungbean-Rice Crop Rotation under Subtropical Humid Climate. Tillage and crop residues management, *Soil and Tillage Research*, 56: 151-166.
13. Singh, R., Rai A., Sharma, D. K. and Chaudhari. S. K. 2000. Biomass estimation and carbon sequestration in *Populus deltoides* plantations in India. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 6(1):11-17.
14. Saha S., Zahir S and Mohammad W. 2010. Action Regulation Introducing Stress Management Techniques and High Performance in Soccer. *Soil Environment*. 33:88-95.
15. Mendoza T, C, Samson R & Helwig T. 2001. Evaluating the many benefits of sugarcane trash farming systems. *Philippine Journal of Crop Science* 2001. 27(1): 43-51.
16. Robertson, F. A.; Thorburn, P. J. 2007. Management of sugarcane harvest residues: consequences for soil carbon and nitrogen. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*. 45 (1):13-23.
17. Nandan R., Singh V., Singh S., Kumar V., Hazra K. K., Prasad N., Poonia S., Malik R. K., Bhattacharyya R., McDonald A. 2019. Impact of conservation tillage in rice-based cropping systems on soil aggregation, carbon pools and nutrients. *Geoderma*. 340: 104-114.
18. Pacharane S., Patil S. and Durgude S. A. and Yadav K. 2021. Organic matter accumulation and fertilizer-induced acidification interact to affect soil microbial and enzyme activity on a long-term sugarcane management experiment. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, 10(03): 1-18.

19. Rajkhowa & Borah 2008. *Current World Environment*. Effect of rice (*Oryza sativa*) straw management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). 9:531-535.
 20. Kumar, B., Gupta, R. K. and Bhandari, A. L. 2008. Effect of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and crop residues management on crop yields in rice-wheat cropping system. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 56(1): 80-85.
-

UNDER PEER REVIEW