

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106279
Title of the Manuscript:	Crop Residues Management: A Viable Tool for Sustainable Agriculture
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Yes, this is an intriguing article that reveals the role of management of crop residues as a viable tool for sustainable agriculture ❖ Yes, the title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article, ❖ Yes, the abstract offers an accurate summary of the paper, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand. However, there are no clear aim for the article, so please include it. ❖ Yes, the manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization. ❖ Yes, the manuscript is scientifically outstanding. ❖ Yes, seems adequate and appropriate. ❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color. ❖ Many of the words outlined in yellow should be deleted. ❖ Please re-arrange the keywords alphabetically. ❖ Introduction: Please rewrite some paragraphs to avoid repetition. ❖ When referring to names by abbreviations, the full name is mentioned, followed by the abbreviation when it is mentioned for the first time, nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K)...and so on. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ English language needs to improved. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ None 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan
Department, University & Country	Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq