

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105193
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Bio-agents on Hatching and Mortality of Root –Knot Nematode (<i>Meloidogyne javanica</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Biological control method is safe way for plant and human health system in comparison to other method.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Add significance of work at the end of abstract.</p> <p>Acknowledgement is necessary, where the experiment has been done, nature of support or kindly elaborate, if this article is the part of any funded project or from any fellowship/ scholarship.</p> <p>Overall ok type after several corrections</p> <p>Kindly add some recent references of 2021,2022,2023 if possible</p> <p>Format of articles should follow the journal published articles, like references pattern, Abstract no. of words etc.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Average</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Several changes in manuscripts highlighted by red text</p> <p>2. Materials and methods section (Statistical analysis), written in last line "presented in Chapter Experimental Results", there is no any Chapter in manuscript.</p> <p>3. In the fig, 1 graph showing "T6" but there is no data related to it in the table1., kindly omit it.</p> <p>4. Add some significance of work in the manuscript.</p> <p>5. In references if more than two authors, then u can write et al, other wise use "and" between two authors in the entire manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakhshan
Department, University & Country	Fatima Degree College, Maulana Mazharul Haque Arabic and Persian University, India