

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106331
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of Length of cuttings and auxin levels on root and shoot growth of Dragon fruit (<i>Hylocereus undatus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. This manuscript is useful to the scientific community. 2. The title of the article is appropriate. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive appropriate. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are not sufficient and current. Please follow template of Journal. All citations in the text must match in the list of references at the end of the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please check the grammatical errors and misspellings.	
Optional/General comments	Please follow manuscript template of Journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruchuon Wanna
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand