

ReviewForm1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106331
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of Length of cuttings and auxin levels on root and shoot growth of Dragon fruit (<i>Hylocereus undatus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes. It has interesting results.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes, it is.</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes. It has the main results and conclusion of the experiment.</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, they are.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, I do.</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes, they are. A great number of references were cited, and many of them are recent and published in good journals.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is necessary to provide the meanings of the abbreviations CAM plant, IBA, and FYM in the text before using these abbreviations.</p>	

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ismarley Lage Horta Morais
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Uberlândia, Brazil