

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106146</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of different packaging materials and modified atmospheric packaging on enhancing the shelf life of Palak and coriander under different storage conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research study</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, because it proposes new packaging solutions for improve the storage and shelf life of two economic important vegetables that are very perishable.</li> <li>Try to be shorter.</li> <li>Should be improve: Improve the english; Improve description of the methods. Should be clear the Study Design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results, Conclusions.</li> <li>Yes. The structure of the manuscript its correct and respect the parameter of the journal.</li> <li>Yes but must be improve the methodology and the representation of the results. If the author has more parameters analysed in this study it must be presented to be a papper more robust. At least understand that the packaging and MAP improved the shelf life without damage de organoleptic quality.</li> <li>The references are few and must be more recent.</li> </ol> <p>Additional suggestions on the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The authors must improve the English.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Catarina Pedro Passaro Carvalho</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Portugal</b>