

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105930
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF GAMMA IRRADIATION ON GROWTH PARAMETERS OF VEGETABLE COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L.Walp)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The discussion about the effect of radiation on agricultural plants is an interesting and interesting discussion, hence the presented article is an acceptable article. 2. Yes. The title of the article is appropriate. 3. The abstract is compiled correctly. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct and acceptable 6. The number of references is sufficient and new. In general, the article is acceptable and recommended for final acceptance. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The English quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Follow journal guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Reza Zargaran Khouzani
Department, University & Country	Khuzestan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Iran