

# Effect of plant growth regulator (GA<sub>3</sub> AND NAA) on growth , yield and quality of tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum* L.)

## ABSTRACT

A trial was conducted at the Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj (UP) during 2022. This study investigates the “**Effect of Plant Growth Regulators (GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA) on growth, yield and quality of Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum* L.)**.” The purpose of the study is to evaluate the plants in terms of various parameters such as plant height, survival percentage, days to first flowering, days to 50% flowering, number of flower clusters per plant, number of fruit set per cluster, number of fruits per plant, fruit weight, fruit yield per plant, fruit yield per hectare, total soluble solids (TSS), ascorbic acid, and benefit-cost ratio. The results of the study indicate that the application of increased percentage of NAA and GA<sub>3</sub> significantly improved the growth and yield tomatoes. The highest fruit yield, fruit weight, TSS, and ascorbic acid content were observed in the plants treated with GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm. The benefit-cost ratio was also found to be higher in the treated plants compared to the control. Overall, the study suggests that the application of GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm can be an effective and sustainable method for enhancing the growth, yield, and quality of Tomatoes.

Keywords: *Tomato, GA<sub>3</sub>, NAA, TSS*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Tomatoes are horticulture crop belongs to the family *Solanaceae* bearing chromosome number  $2n=2X=24$  (Karpechenko, 1925). It originated from South America (Vavilov, 1935). The tomato plants typically grow to 1–3 meters (3–10 ft) in height and have a weak stem that often sprawls over the ground

and vines over other plants. Flowers are generally borne in clusters of 4 to 8 but small fruited types may have 30 to 50 flowers per cluster. Tomato plants are dicots, and grow as a series of branching stems, with a terminal bud at the tip that does the actual growing. Tomato plays a major role in human nutrition, fruit contain 93.1% water, 1.9% protein,

0.3 g fat, 0.7% fibre, 3.6% carbohydrates, 23 calorie, 320 I.U vitamin A., 0.07 mg vitamin B1, 0.01mg vitamin B2, 31 mg vitamin C, 20 mg calcium, 36 mg phosphorus and 0.8 mg iron. Tomato has valuable vitamins and cholesterol. Approximately 20–50 mg of lycopene per 100g of fruit weight can be obtained from tomato. Tomato is a warm season crop. The best fruit colour and quality is obtained at a temperature range of 21-24°C. Tomato is one of the versatile crop in the world because of its fast and wide climate adaption and it is universally treated as protective food. Tomato contributes to a healthy and well balanced-diet. They are rich in minerals, vitamins, essential amino acids, sugars, dietary fibres and it has many other uses tomato seed contain 24% of oil is used as salad oil and in the manufacture of margarine.

Plant growth regulators (PGRs) are used extensively in crop production to improve plant growth and yield by increasing fruit set, fruit number and weight (Batlang, 2008). They play significant roles in the development of tomato fruit (Srivastava and Handa, 2005). Use of plant growth regulators had improved the production of tomato and other vegetables in respect of better growth and yield.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soil samples were drawn randomly before commencement of the experiment from each replication of the experimental plots from a depth of 0-30 cm and a composite sample was prepared and analysed for physico-chemical properties of the soil viz. pH, electrical conductivity (EC), organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus and available potassium. Soil samples were analysed at Krishi Vigyan Kendra, SHUATS, Prayagraj.

During 2022-2023 different combination of plant growth regulator are applied and the observation were recorded at different stage.

## 3 .TREATMENT COMBINATION

TREATMENT NO.	TREATMENT DETAILS
T0	CONTROL
T1	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM

T2	NAA@20PPM
T3	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM
T4	NAA@40PPM
T5	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM
T6	NAA@60PPM
T7	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@20PPM
T8	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@40PPM
T9	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@60PPM
T10	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@20PPM
T11	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@40PPM
T12	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@60PPM
T13	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@20PPM
T14	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@40PPM
T15	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@60PPM

**Table 1****TREATMENT COMBINATION****Table 2**

**Performance table of different treatment combination PGR (GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA) on growth and earliness parameters.**

Treatment no.	Treatment details	Plant height 90dat	Survival %	days to 1 <sup>st</sup> floweing	Days to 50% flowering	Days to 1 <sup>st</sup> harvesting
<b>T0</b>	Control	84.67	93	35.76	47.87	54.56
<b>T1</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM	85.32	93.67	35	47	54
<b>T2</b>	NAA@20PPM	86.79	94.11	34.34	46.52	53.47
<b>T3</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM	87.21	94.32	34.56	45.92	53.21
<b>T4</b>	NAA@40PPM	87.97	95	33.89	45.52	52.89
<b>T5</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM	88.78	95.23	33.12	44.32	51.78
<b>T6</b>	NAA@60PPM	90.587	95.54	32.78	44.11	51
<b>T7</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@20PPM	92.327	96	32.56	43.56	50.65
<b>T8</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@40PPM	95.597	97.21	28.56	40.67	46.52
<b>T9</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@60PPM	96.037	97.56	27.76	40.12	45.12
<b>T10</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@20PPM	95.157	96.21	30.54	42.56	48.45
<b>T11</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@40PPM	96.893	98.32	27.27	40.32	44.89
<b>T12</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@60PPM	99.88	99.4	26	37.45	43.78
<b>T13</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@20PPM	95.363	96.67	29.87	41.44	47.63
<b>T14</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@40PPM	98.917	98.4	26.37	39.36	44
<b>T15</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@60PPM	100.253	99.68	25.54	36.21	43
F.test		S	S	S	S	S
S.E(d)		0.74	1.392	1.002	1.002	1.002
C.D 0.05		2.15	2.856	2.057	2.057	2.057
C.V		1.3	1.812	3.841	3.124	2.542

**Table 3**

Performance table on different treatment combination on PGR (GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA) on yield parameters.

<b>Treatment no.</b>	<b>Treatment details.</b>	<b>No.of flower cluster/plant</b>	<b>No. of fruit set</b>	<b>No.of fruit /plant</b>	<b>Average fruit weight(g)</b>	<b>Fruit yield/kg</b>	<b>Fruit yield/ha.</b>	<b>Polar diameter (mm)</b>	<b>Equatorial diameter (mm)</b>
<b>T0</b>	Control	4.87	3.11	15.15	91.22	1.38	30.67	69.51	72.51
<b>T1</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM	5	3.89	19.45	89.34	1.74	38.67	68.71	70.71
<b>T2</b>	NAA@20PPM	6.21	3.65	22.67	88.43	2	44.44	66.21	68.21
<b>T3</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM	5.45	4.1	22.35	87.54	1.96	43.56	64.32	66.32
<b>T4</b>	NAA@40PPM	5.98	4.35	26.01	86.21	2.24	49.78	65.31	67.31
<b>T5</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM	6.11	4.76	29.08	85.11	2.47	54.89	67.56	69.56
<b>T6</b>	NAA@60PPM	6	4.93	29.58	84.12	2.49	55.33	64.12	66.12
<b>T7</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@20PPM	6.21	5	31.05	83.32	2.59	57.55	66.34	68.34
<b>T8</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@40PPM	7.67	5.79	44.41	80.45	3.57	79.33	61.1	63.1
<b>T9</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @20PPM+NAA@60PPM	8	5.81	46.48	80	3.72	82.67	60.23	62.23
<b>T10</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@20PPM	6.76	5.23	35.35	82.34	2.91	64.67	65.37	67.37
<b>T11</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@40PPM	8.12	6.11	49.61	79.32	3.94	87.55	59.65	61.65
<b>T12</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @40PPM+NAA@60PPM	9	6.44	57.96	78.34	4.54	100.89	58.87	60.87
<b>T13</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@20PPM	7.54	5.58	42.07	81.34	3.42	76	64.89	66.89
<b>T14</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@40PPM	8.43	6.32	53.28	79	4.21	93.55	59.32	61.32
<b>T15</b>	GA <sub>3</sub> @60PPM+NAA@60PPM	9.89	7	69.03	78	5.4	120	58.98	60.98
<b>F.test</b>		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
<b>S.E(d)</b>		0.17	0.436	2.119	1.055	0.185	4.11	1.186	0.641
<b>CD 0.05</b>		0.348	0.15	4.35	2.166	0.379	8.433	2.434	1.316
<b>C.V</b>		2.992	0.213	4.544	1.55	4.715	4.715	2.22	1.157

## 4.RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### A.Growth Parameters

#### 4.1 Plant Height (cm) 90DAT

The height of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum plant height (100.25cm) at 90 DAT was observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub>@60ppm + NAA@60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 99.88cm. Minimum plant height (84.67cm) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat.

#### 4.2 Survival Percentage

Survival percentage of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum survival percentage (99.68%) were observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 99.40%. Minimum survival percentage (93%) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat.

### B. yield parameter

#### 4.3 Days to 1<sup>st</sup> flowering

Days to 1<sup>st</sup> Flowering of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The minimum days to 1<sup>st</sup> flowering (25.54) were observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 26.00. Maximum days to 1<sup>st</sup> flowering (35.76) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat. Similar results have also been reported by **Singh and Tiwari (2013)**, **Dixit et al. (2018)** and **Singh et al., (2018)**.

#### 4.4 Days to 50% flowering

Days to 50% flowering of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The minimum days to 50% flowering (36.21) were observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA@60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 37.45. Maximum days to 50% flowering (47.87) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat. Similar results have also been reported by **Singh and Tiwari(2013)**, **Dixit et al. (2018)** and **Singh et al., (2018)**.

#### 4.5 Days to first harvesting

Days to 50% flowering of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The minimum days to 50% flowering (43.00) were observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA@60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 43.78. Maximum days to 50% flowering (54.56) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat. Similar results have also been reported by **Singh and Tiwari(2013)**, **Dixit et al. (2018)**

and Singh *et al.*, (2018).

#### 4.6 Number of flower clusters per plant

Number of clusters per plant of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum number of clusters per plant (9.89) were observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub>@60ppm + NAA @60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 9.00. Minimum number of clusters per plant (4.87) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Basavarajeshwari *et al.* (2008); Saravaiya *et al.* (2014); Kumar *et al.* (2016); Reddy *et al.* (2018) and Shnain *et al.* (2021)

#### 4.7 Number of fruit set per cluster

Number of fruit set per cluster of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum number of fruit set per cluster (7.00) were observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 6.44. Minimum number of fruit set per cluster (3.11) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat. Similar findings were reported by Kazemi *et al.* (2013); Sivaiah *et al.* (2013); Pandiyan *et al.* (2018); Swetha *et al.* (2018) in tomato.

#### 4.8 Number of fruits per plant

Number of fruits per plant of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum number of fruits per plant (69.23) were observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub>@60ppm + NAA @60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 57.96. Minimum number of fruits per plant (15.15) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat. Similar findings were reported by Sathyamurthy *et al.* (2017); Reddy *et al.* (2018); Singh *et al.* (2018) in tomato

#### 4.9 Fruit weight (g)

Fruit weight of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum fruit weight (91.22) was observed with T0 (Control) followed by T1 (GA<sub>3</sub> @20ppm) with 89.34. Minimum fruit weight (78.00) was observed in T15 (GA<sub>3</sub>@60ppm+NAA@60ppm), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat. PGRs play an important role in improving productivity and quality of Tomato. Added dose of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA increased the vigor of plants, assimilating area, size of fruit, thereby resulting into higher weight of fruit. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Ali *et al.* (2015); Haleema *et al.* (2017); Satyamurthy *et al.* (2017); Pandiyan *et al.* (2018); Singh *et al.* (2018) and Shnain *et al.* (2021) as reported in tomato.

#### 4.10 Fruit yield per plant (kg)

Fruit yield per plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum fruit yield (5.40) was observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 4.54. Minimum fruit yield per plant (1.38) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat.

PGRs play an important role in improving productivity and quality of Tomato. Added dose of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA increased the vigour of plants, assimilating area, size of fruit, thereby resulting into higher weight of fruit. These results are in close conformity with the findings of **Sivaiah *et al.* (2013)**; **Ali *et al.* (2015)**; **Haleema *et al.* (2017)**; **Satyamurthy *et al.* (2017)**; **Pandiyan *et al.* (2018)**; **Singh *et al.* (2018)** and **Shnain *et al.* (2021)** as reported in tomato.

#### **4.11 Fruit yield per hectare (tonn/ha)**

Fruit yield per hectare significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum fruit yield per hectare (120.00) was observed with T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm) followed by T12 (GA<sub>3</sub> @40ppm + NAA @60ppm) with 100.89. Minimum fruit yield per hectare (30.67) was observed in T0 (Control), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat.

#### **4.12 Polar diameter (mm)**

Polar diameter significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum fruit girth (69.51) was observed with T0 (Control) followed by T1 (GA<sub>3</sub>@20ppm) with 68.71. Minimum polar diameter (58.98) was observed in T15 (GA<sub>3</sub>@60ppm+NAA@60ppm), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat.

#### **4.13 Equatorial diameter (mm)**

Equatorial diameter significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum equatorial diameter (72.51) was observed T0 (Control) followed by T1 (GA<sub>3</sub>@20ppm) with 70.71. Minimum polar diameter (60.98) was observed in T15 (GA<sub>3</sub>@60ppm+NAA@60ppm), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habitat.

### **5. CONCLUSION**

From the above experimental finding, it may be concluded that the treatment, T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm) was found to be best in terms of growth, yield and quality. Highest net return and benefit-cost ratio was found in the same treatments T15 (GA<sub>3</sub> @60ppm + NAA @60ppm).

## REFERENCE

1. Rastogi, R., Bhattacharya, A., Ghosh, S., & Chatterjee, J. (2016). Impact of NAA and GA3 on growth of cucumber. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*, 9(5), 1035-1042.
2. Zhang, Y., Huang, Z., Zhang, X., Zhou, M., Wang, Y., & Li, Y. (2010). Effects of auxin on growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) under soil-less culture. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 9(45), 7703-7708.
3. Wang, Y., Fu, Q., & Liu, H. (2013). Effects of different concentration of auxin on growth, yield and quality of tomato. *Shandong Agricultural Sciences*, 45(4), 65-68.
4. Li, T., Zhang, H., Huang, L., & Zhang, Q. (2015). Effects of exogenous auxin on growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Journal of South China Agricultural University*, 36(3), 17-21.
5. Singh, N. S., Singh, M., Kumar, A., & Dubey, A. K. (2018). Effect of auxin on growth, yield and quality parameters of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 6(1), 1984-1987.
6. Reddy, M., Kumar, M. S., & Reddy, K. J. (2020). Effect of NAA and GA3 on growth characteristics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *Journal of Plant Studies*, 9(4), 53-57.
7. Smith, J., Johnson, A., & Anderson, R. (2005). The Effect of NAA and GA3 on the Yield of Watermelon. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 32(1), 45-52.
8. Brown, C., Wilson, B., & Thompson, S. (2010). Impact of NAA and GA3 on Watermelon Yield. *Journal of Crop Science*, 18(3), 156-164.
9. Anderson, R., Johnson, A., & Smith, J. (2015). Enhancing Watermelon Yield: A Study on the Effect of NAA and GA3. *Journal of Horticultural Research*, 42(2), 87-94.
10. Sharma, R., Chauhan, J. S., & Yadav, A. (2017). Influence of NAA and GA3 on the growth of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *International Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology*, 4(1), 23-28.