**Management of pomegranate wilt caused by *Ceratocystis fimbriata* Ell. and Halst**

**Abstract:** Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) is a one of the important fruit crop cultivated all over the world particularly in the tropical and sub-tropics. It is affected by several diseases of which wilt one of the most important disease caused by *Ceratocystis fimbriata.* In the present study we aimed to management of pomegranate wilt under. The management, under *in vitro* studies, captan, mancozeb, ziram, thiram and zineb recorded maximum inhibition of mycelial growth at all concentrations (0.10%, 0.20% and 0.30% respectively). Out of nine systemic fungicides tested, carbendazim, hexaconazole, thiophanate methyl, propiconazole and tebuconazole showed 100 per cent inhibition at all concentrations (0.05%, 0.10% and 0.15% respectively). In case of combi-fungicide molecules, hexaconazole + zineb, carbendazim + mancozeb, trifloxystrobin + tebuconazole and captan + hexaconazole were found highly effective. Among bio-agents tested, *T. harzianum* (Th-R) and Diamond (*T. viride*) were found more effective as compared to other bio-control agents and inhibited maximum fungal growth (100%) of *C. fimbriata.* Field evaluation over two years indicated that three drenchings of propiconazole (0.2%), Diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) and *T. harzianum* (5g/l) at an interval of 15 days showed maximum disease control.



**Introduction**

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) is an ancient fruit, belongs to the family lythraceae. Pomegranate is native to Iran, where it was first cultivated in about 2000 BC and spread to the Mediterranean countries. It is cultivated in India, Iran, China, Turkey, USA, Spain, Azerbaijan, Armenia, Afghanistan, Uzbekistan, the Middle East, Pakistan, Tunisia, Israel, dry regions of Southeast Asia, Peninsular Malaysia, the East Indies and tropical Africa. Area under pomegranate is increasing worldwide because of its hardy nature, wider adaptability, drought tolerance, higher yield levels with excellent keeping quality and remunerative prices in domestic as well as export market. It thrives well in dry tropics and sub-tropics and comes up very well in soils of low fertility status as well as on saline soils. India is the world's leading country in pomegranate production.

It is one of the most adaptable subtropical fruit crops. In India it is regarded as a "vital cash crop", extensively grown in Maharashtra, Karnataka, Andra Pradesh, Telangana and Gujarat and is picking up fast in Himachal Pradesh, Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh. Small areas are under cultivation in Tamil Nadu, Mizoram, Odisha, Nagaland, Lakshadweep, Jharkhand and Jammu Kashmir. total area under pomegranate in India is 1,80,640 ha out of which 1,28,650 ha is in Maharashtra only. The total production in India is 17,89,310 metric tons and 11,97,710 metric tons in Maharashtra. In Karnataka total area is 23,230 ha with production 2,61,820 metric tonnes.

In Karnataka, the crop has spread across different districts *viz.*, Vijayapura, Bagalkot, Koppal, Yadgir, Raichur, Ballari, Chitradurga, Tumakuru and Hassan. The most popular varieties suitable for processing and table use are Ganesh, Mridula, Arakta, Bhagwa (Kesar), G-137 and Khandar. Successful cultivation of pomegranate in recent years is threatened with different pest and diseases. Bacterial blight, wilt, anthracnose, leaf spot and root knot nematode are important diseases. Among them, wilt caused by *Ceratocystis fimbriata* Ell. and Halst. is an emerging threat. At present the crop is severely affected by wilt pathogen and day by day the wilting severity is increasing at faster rate. It was first noticed in some areas of Vijayapur districts of India during 1990. By 1993, rapid spread of this disease was observed in entire Vijayapura district. The cause was not identified until 1995; however in 1996 the fungus *C. fimbriata* was isolated from discolored stem, root and branch tissues on wilting plants. Disease is characterized by initial symptoms of yellowing and wilting of leaves on one to several branches leading to death of affected plants in a few weeks. Cross sections of diseased plants revealed brown discoloration in the outer xylem from roots to the main trunk (Somasekhara and Walli, 2000).

The disease is prevalent in parts of Maharashtra, Karnataka, Telangana, Gujarat and Tamil Nadu states (Jadhav and Sharma, 2009). Despite many factors conducive for the high severity, seedlings selection for planting, soil borne nature and also association with shot hole borer and plant parasitic nematodes is noticed. This might be the reason for the current rampant spread of the disease in south Indian states. Several agents are known to cause wilt in pomegranate, but *C. fimbriata* is the major cause (Sharma, 2009 and Sharma *et al.*, 2010), hence, emphasis given be on *C. fimbriata*.

In the modern era of organic fruit production, dependence on fungicides and other chemicals is reducing. In this context, use of antagonists as well as their combinations with fungicides to manage disease is receiving lot of attention. Resistance inducing rhizobacteria offer an excellent alternative in providing natural, effective, safe, persistence and durable protection. Plants have endogenous defense mechanisms that can be induced in response to the pathogen and bio-agents. One classical biotic inducer is the plant growth promoting bacterium, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Iavicoli *et al*., 2003). *Trichoderma* spp. can reduce the severity of plant diseases by inhibiting plant pathogens in the soil through its highly potent antagonistic and mycoparasitic activity. Moreover, as revealed by research in recent decades, some *Trichoderma* strains can interact directly with roots, increasing plant growth potential, resistance to disease and tolerance to abiotic stresses (Rosa Hermosa *et al*., 2012).

Though, soil application of fungicides such as propiconazole and carbendazim has been recorded to check wilt due to *Ceratocystis fimbriata*, wilt disease epidemics are still not uncommon. There is huge concern over the environmental safety due to indiscriminate use of chemical fungicides besides their escalated costs. Management of *C. fimbriata* through soil application of fungicides is difficult because of its broad host range as well as its worldwide distribution which precludes such strategy. Once established in the soil, it is difficult to eliminate the pathogen. Management through chemical methods leads to ill effects like residual toxicity, environmental pollution and fungicide resistance. Although soil application with fungicides is recommended to minimize the infection at early stages, it does not give complete protection. A single method of management may not be possible to control this disease effectively. Therefore, it is necessary to develop bio-intensive management strategy to manage wilt disease of pomegranate.



## Material and Methods

The fungicides and bio-agents which showed superior performance under *in vitro* were selected and treatment combinations were made to develop bio-intensive integrated management strategy against pomegranate wilt under field conditions. The farmers orchard (4 years old) where in plants showing typical initial disease symptoms at Ganjalli village of Raichur taluk planted with most popular variety, Kesar was selected for conducting the experiment for consecutive two years during *Hastbahar* 2014 and 2015. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with a plant spacing of 12 x 6 feet. The following treatments were formulated to find out the integrated disease management strategy using bio-agents and fungicides.

Chart1 : List of treatments used for the study

| **Treatment** | **Particulars** |
|---|---|
| $T_1$ | Carbendazim (0.2%)* - carbendazim (0.2%)* – carbendazim (0.2%)* |
| $T_2$ | Propiconazole (0.2%) – propiconazole (0.2%) – propiconazole (0.2%) |
| $T_3$ | Platinum (0.7 g/l) - platinum (0.7 g/l) - platinum (0.7 g/l) |
| $T_4$ | Diamond (0.7 g/l) - diamond (0.7 g/l) - diamond (0.7 g/l) |
| $T_5$ | *Trichoderma harzianum* (Th-R) (5 g/l) - *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5 g/l) - *T. harzianum*(Th-R) (5 g/l) |
| $T_6$ | *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (RP-46) (5g/l) - *P. fluorescens* (RP-46) (5 g/l) - *P. fluorescens* (RP-46) (5 g/l) |
| $T_7$ | Platinum (0.7 g/l) - propiconazole (0.2%) - platinum (0.7 g/l) |
| $T_8$ | Diamond (0.7 g/l) - propiconazole (0.2%) - diamond (0.7 g/l) |
| $T_9$ | Platinum (0.7 g/l) – carbendazim (0.2%) - platinum (0.7 g/l) |
| $T_{10}$ | Diamond (0.7 g/l) – carbendazim (0.2%) - diamond (0.7 g/l) |
| $T_{11}$ | Carbendazim (0.2%) - platinum (0.7 g/l) - carbendazim (0.2%) |
| $T_{12}$ | Carbendazim (0.2%) - diamond (0.7 g/l) - carbendazim (0.2%) |
| $T_{13}$ | Propiconazole (0.2%) - platinum (0.7 g/l) - propiconazole (0.2%) |
| $T_{14}$ | Propiconazole (0.2%) - diamond (0.7 g/l) - propiconazole (0.2%) |
| $T_{15}$ | Control |

* First, second and third drenching were done in sequence at an interval of 15 days

For each treatment, a plant showing typical initial wilting disease symptoms (Yellowing of leaves in 1-2 branches) was selected; fungicidal solution of treatments was prepared and drenched @ 8-10 lit. Likewise, four plants were maintained and treated to represent four replications per treatment. For preparing treatment solutions, known quantity of fungicide and bio-agent were dissolving in water and applied all horticultural practices as per package of practices were followed for raising the crop by farmer.

For recording wilt incidence, observations on total number of branches and number of wilted branches in each treatment were recorded. The first observation was recorded before drenching of treatments to know the initial incidence of disease. Later, observations on effect of treatments were recorded from 15 days to till 120 days at 15 days interval. The fruit yield (t/ha) was also recorded and later per cent increase in yield over control was calculated.

## Result




**A) General view of experimental plot**
**B) Individual treatments**

B1 - $T_2$ (Propiconazole (0.2%) - Propiconazole (0.2%) - Propiconazole (0.2%)
B2 - $T_3$ (Platinum (0.7 g/l) - Platinum (0.7 g/l) - Platinum (0.7 g/l),
B3 - $T_4$ (Diamond (0.7 g/l) - Diamond (0.7 g/l) - Diamond (0.7 g/l))
B4 - $T_5$ (*Trichoderma harzianum* (5 g/l) – *T. harzianum* (5 g/l) - *T. harzianum* (5 g/l)

B5 - $T_{15}$ (Control)

### Plate 1. Management of pomegranate wilt under field conditions

**Management under field condition**

Field experiments on development of bio-intensive disease management strategy against pomegranate wilt were conducted for two consecutive years during 2014 and 2015. The results on disease incidence, fruit yield and BC ratio are presented (Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, Table 4 and Plate 1) **Disease incidence (% wilting of branches)**

**I Year (2014)**

The incidence of wilt was 12.6 to 13.9 per cent in different treatments before drenching of treatment combinations. The disease incidence started increasing from 15 days to till 120 days and the highest incidence (100%) was recorded in the control treatment at 105 days. Among the treatments employed, the treatment containing three drenchings of propiconazole (0.2%) at 15 days interval recorded significantly lowest disease incidence of 10.28 per cent when compared to rest of the treatments. However, the treatment was significantly superior to diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) and *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5 g/l) which were also effective in reducing the disease incidence (18.33% and 19.93%, respectively). Further, treatment combinations such as three drenching of carbendazim (0.2%), propiconazole (0.2%) - diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) - propiconazole, propiconazole (0.2%) - platinum (*P. fluorescens*) (0.7 g/l) – propiconazole and carbendazim (0.2%) - diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) - carbendazim (0.2%) were also effective to some extent in reducing wilt (29.98%, 32.71%, 36.55% and 39.53% respectively). The untreated control treatment showed starting incidence of 13.00 per cent at 15 days of drenching to maximum of 100 per cent at 120 days (Table 1).

**II Year (2015)**

During *Hastbahar*, 2015**,** the wilt incidence ranged from 12.0-13.8 per cent in different treatments before drenching of treatments. The disease incidence started increasing from 15 days to till 120 days. The highest incidence (100%) was recorded in the control treatment at 105 days. Among the treatments employed, significantly lowest disease incidence of 10.58 per cent was recorded in the treatment of three drenchings of propiconazole (0.2%) at 15 days interval when compared to rest of the treatments and it was significantly superior to diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7

g/l) and *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5g/l) which were also effective in reducing the disease incidence (18.53% and 20.66%, respectively). The untreated control treatment showed starting incidence of 13.66 per cent at 15 days of drenching to maximum of 100 per cent at 120 days (Table 2).

**Pooled data (2014 and 2015)**

In the results on pooled treated data also indicated the same trend of results as recorded in individual years. propiconazole (0.2%), three drenching at 15 days intervals showed significantly superior result in recording least disease incidence (10.53%) over all other treatments (Table 3). The next best treatments diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) - diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) - diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) drenching at 15 days intervals (18.53 %), *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5 g/l) - *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5 g/l) - *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5 g/l) drenching at 15 days intervals (20.66%) but significantly different from untreated control (100%).

**Fruit yield (t/ha)**

The data on fruit yield of pomegranate was recorded during 2014 and 2015; later pooled analysis was done and given in the Table 3.

**I Year (2014)**

Results on fruit yield of pomegranate during 2014 indicated that, drenching of propiconazole (0.2%) alone recorded significantly highest fruit yield (10.31 t/ha) and it was significantly different from rest of the treatment combinations. diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) alone was also effective by recording fruit yield of 8.7 t/ha. Further, *T. harzianum* (Th-R) alone (5g/l) showed 8.59 t/ha (Table 3). However, no fruits were formed in untreated control due to the death of plants attributed to very high wilt incidence.

**II Year (2015)**

Similar trend of results were obtained during 2015 with respect to fruit yield. Data indicated that the treatment propiconazole (0.2%) alone recorded significantly highest fruit yield (10.43 t/ha) followed by diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) (8.78 t/ha) and *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5g/l) (8.55 t/ha) (Table 3). However, untreated control treatment recorded the no fruit yield (0.00 t/ha).

**Pooled data (2014 and 2015)**

The results indicated that highest fruit yield was obtained in propiconazole (0.2%) with 10.37 t/ha followed by diamond (*T. viride*) (8.74 t/ha) and *T. harzianum* (Th-R) 8.57 t/ha when compared to no fruit yield in untreated control treatment.

**Benefit cost ratio**

The economics of development of bio-intensive management strategy against pomegranate wilt trial was worked out by taking into consideration the total cost of cultivation, cost of treatment and gross returns. The highest CB ratio (1: 2.78) was obtained in drenching of diamond (*T. viride*) alone. The next best treatment is propiconazole alone which recorded CB ratio of 1: 2.59 and other treatment *T. harzianum* (Th-R) also showed little bit more CB ratio (1: 2.56) when compared to rest of the treatments (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

*In vitro* screening of fungicides and antagonists provides preliminary information regarding their efficacy against. *C. fimbriata* and with a hope to utilize the promising bio- agents and fungicides for management of pomegranate wilt under field conditions. Development of bio-intensive disease management strategy an approach, where all methods of management may be brought into operation to reduce pathogenic activities to a tolerance or permissible level, with chemicals applied only when absolutely necessary. Since, the present day agriculture is aiming towards sustainable agriculture and organic farming, use of chemicals is mostly discouraged.

Management of *C. fimbriata* through soil application of fungicides is difficult because of its broad host range as well as its worldwide distribution which precludes such strategy. Once established in the soil, it is difficult to eliminate the pathogen. Management through chemical methods leads to ill effects like residual toxicity, environmental pollution and fungicide resistance. Although soil application with fungicides is recommended to minimize the infection at early stages, it does not give complete protection. A single method of management may not be possible to control this disease effectively. Bio-agent antagonists to manage disease receiving lot of attention. Resistance inducing rhizobacteria offer an excellent alternative in providing natural, effective, safe, persistence and durable protection. Plants have endogenous defense mechanisms that can be induced in response to the pathogen and bio-agents. One classical biotic inducer is the plant growth promoting bacterium, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Iavicoli *et al*., 2003). Thus the concept of bio-intensive disease management become talk of the day, so as to; create least hazard to man and environment and to permit maximum assistance to natural control.

Keeping this in mind, various workers have succeeded in this aspect (Thangavelu and Mustaffa, 2010; Khosla, 2013; Apet *et al*, 2015; Sonyal *et al*., 2015 and Thangavelu Raman and Gopi Muthukathan, 2015). With this objective, the present investigation was carried out using chemicals and bio-agents singly and in combination, to manage wilt of pomegranate for two consecutive years. The results on pooled data indicated that propiconazole (0.2%), three drenching at 15 days intervals showed significantly superior disease control by recording least disease incidence (10.53%) with highest mean fruit yield of (10.37 t/ha) against untreated check with wilt (100.0%) and absolutely no fruit yield. This treatment was followed by three drenchings of Diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) and *Trichoderma harzianum* (Th-R) (5g/l) which recorded wilt (18.44% and 20.31%, respectively) and fruit yield (8.74 t/ha and 8.57 t/ha). Apart from this, per cent reduction in disease incidence also indicated that three drenching of propiconazole (0.2%) alone showed highest per cent reduction of 89.57 per cent, while it was comparatively less in drenching treatment with Diamond (*T. viride*) (81.57%) and *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (79.70%). *C. fimbriata* survives under adverse conditions as mycelia within the plant host or as thick-walled aleurioconidia in the soil or in plant host or debris. Aleurioconidia, because of the thick wall, are probably the most common fungal survival structures in soil and most initial infections arise from such inoculums. *C. fimbriata* survives in the soil long time. Thus the effective treatmentss like propiconazole fungicide, Diamond (*T. viride*) and *T. harzianum* (Th-R) are well known as effective biological control agent for the *C. fimbriata*. Khosla (2013) reported that triazoles such as tebuconazole, cyperconazole, propiconazole, difeniconazole and diniconazole provide excellent control some soil borne diseases including wilt. Thangavelu and Mustaffa (2010) reported that soil application of *T. viride* NRCB1 significantly reduced the *Fusarium* wilt disease of banana (up to 80%) and increased the plant growth parameters. Raguchander *et al* (1998) observed that dipping of suckers in the suspension of *P. fluorescens* ($10^6$ CFU/ml) or *T. viride* ($10^6$ CFU/ml) along with the application of 500 g wheat bran:saw dust inoculum (1:3) of the respective bio-control agent three months after planting, effectively reduced the *Fusarium* wilt of banana incidence and produced the highest yield.

The results of present study are similar to study conducted by Thangavelu Raman and Gopi Muthukathan (2015) field evaluation of *Pseudomonas putida*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Rhizobium* spp. and *Acromobacter* spp. five combinations of bacterial isolates in an area of heavy inoculum and using *cv*. Grand Naine indicated that all the bacterial combinations suppressed *Fusarium* wilt and increased the number of banana hands and bunch weight as compared to untreated control. Further, Sharma *et al*. (2010) reported that soil drenching of affected and adjacent healthy plants with carbendazim or propiconazole (0.2%) + chloropyriphos (0.2%) has resulted in effective wilt management. Somasekhara (2009) screened various fungicides against *C. fimbriata* and reported that propiconazole, boric acid and phosphoric acid were found effective against wilt pathogen.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In the development of bio-intensive disease management, under i*n vitro* studies, captan, mancozeb, ziram, thiram and zineb recorded maximum inhibition of mycelial growth at all concentrations (0.10%, 0.20% and 0.30% respectively). Out of nine systemic fungicides tested, carbendazim, hexaconazole, thiophanate methyl, propiconazole and tebuconazole showed 100 per cent inhibition at all concentrations (0.05%, 0.10% and 0.15% respectively). In case of combi-fungicide molecules, hexaconazole + zineb, carbendazim + mancozeb, trifloxystrobin + tebuconazole and captan + hexaconazole were found highly effective. Among bio-agents tested, *T. harzianum* (Th-R) and Diamond (*T. viride*) were found more effective as compared to other bio-control agents and inhibited maximum fungal growth (100%) of *C. fimbriata.* Field evaluation over two years indicated that three drenchings of propiconazole (0.2%), Diamond (*T. viride*) (0.7 g/l) and *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5g/l) at an interval of 15 days showed maximum disease control with higher mean fruit yields and cost benefit ratios. Field evaluation over two years indicated per cent increase in yield (10.37 yield t/h) over control and per cent diseases reduction (89.57) over controls is higher in propiconazole (0.2%) per cent treatment

### References

Apet, K. T., Sayyad, A. S., Wagh, S. S and Chavan, P. G., 2015, Bioefficacy of fungicides, bioagents and phytoextracts against *Certaocystis paradoxa*, causing pineapple disease of sugarcane. *Res. J. Agril. Sci.*, 6(6): 1266-1270

Iavicoli, A., Boutet, E., Buchala, A. and Metraux, J. P., 2003, Induced systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana* in response to root inoculation with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Inteactions,* 16: 851-858.

Jadhav, V. T. and Sharma, K. K., 2009, Integrated management of disease in pomegranate. Paper Presented In: 2nd *Inter. Symp. Pomegranate and minor including Mediterranean Fruits*, Univ. Agric. Sic., Dharwad, June 23-27, pp. 48-52.

Khosla, K., 2013, Evaluation of fungicides and plant extracts against *Ceratocystis fimbriata* causing wilt of pomegranate. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol*., 43(2): 193-197.

Raguchander, T., Jayashree, K. and Samiyappan, R., 1998, Management of fusarium wilt of banana using antagonistic microorganisms. *J. Biol. Control*., 11(1/2): 101-105.

Rosa Hermosa, Viterbo Ada., Chet Ilan and Monte Enrique., 2012, Plant-beneficial effects of *Trichoderma* and its genes. *Microbiol.*, 158: 17–25.

Sharma, K. K., 2009, Vascular wilt of pomegranate caused by *Ceratocystis fimbriata* Ellis and Halsted and its control. *5th International conference on Plant Pathology in the globalized Era*, Nov,10-13, 2009 at IARI, New Delhi: pp. 240.

Sharma, K. K., Sharma, J. and Jadhav, V. T., 2010, Etiology of pomegranate wilt and its management. In: *Fruit, Vegetable, Cereal science and Biotechnology* 4 (2), *Global Science Books*, 96-101.

Somasekhara, Y. M. and Wali, S. Y., 2000, Survey of incidence of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* Linn) wilt *Ceratocystis fimbriata* (Ell & Halst). *Orissa J. Hort*., 28**:** 84-89.

Somasekhara, Y. M., 2009, *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of fungicides for the management of pomegranate wilt pathogen (*Ceratocystis fimbriata*). Paper Presented In: 2$^{nd}$ *Inter. Symp. Pomegranate and minor including Mediterranean Fruits*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, June 23-27, pp. 140-141.

Sonyal, S., Ravichandran, N, G. and Reddy, B. M. 2015, Efficacy of bio-agents on *Ceratocystice fimbriata* and *Meloidogyne incognita* wilt complex in pomegranate. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci*., 49(2): 350-354.

Thangavelu Raman and Gopi Muthukathan, 2015, Field suppression of *Fusarium* wilt disease in banana by the combined application of native endophytic and rhizospheric bacterial isolates possessing multiple functions. *Phytopathol. Mediterran*, 54(2): 241−252.

Thangavelu, R. and Mustaffa, M., 2010, A potential isolate of *Trichoderma viride* NRCB1 and its mass production for the effective management of *Fusarium* wilt disease in banana. *Tree forestry Sci. Biotecnol*., 4(2): 76-84.

**Table 1. Development of bio-intensive disease management of pomegranate wilt during 2014**

| Treatment | Disease incidence (% wilting of branches) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Before drenching | After treatment (Days) | | | | | | | |
| | | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 105 | 120 |
| $T_1$ | 13.9 (21.88) | 17.59 (24.79) | 19.59 (26.27) | 22.37 (28.23) | 24.14 (29.43) | 25.40 (30.26) | 26.40 (30.92) | 28.07 (31.99) | 29.98 (33.20) * |
| $T_2$ | 12.7 (20.86) | 18.04 (25.14) | 20.09 (26.63) | 20.09 (26.63) | 19.05 (25.88) | 17.15 (24.46) | 14.13 (22.08) | 12.75 (20.92) | 10.28 (18.70) |
| $T_3$ | 13.6 (21.65) | 19.16 (25.96) | 24.69 (29.79) | 31.52 (34.15) | 37.67 (37.86) | 40.90 (39.76) | 42.49 (40.68) | 43.39 (41.20) | 44.11 (41.62) |
| $T_4$ | 13.5 (21.56) | 19.30 (26.06) | 21.20 (27.41) | 24.30 (29.53) | 23.98 (29.32) | 23.38 (28.91) | 21.80 (27.83) | 19.1 (25.91) | 18.33 (25.35) |
| $T_5$ | 13.9 (21.91) | 19.69 (26.34) | 22.28 (28.17) | 26.06 (30.70) | 26.06 (30.70) | 25.15 (30.10) | 23.05 (28.69) | 21.3 (27.49) | 19.93 (26.51) |
| $T_6$ | 12.6 (20.77) | 18.47 (25.45) | 27.81 (31.83) | 35.75 (36.72) | 41.62 (40.17) | 45.02 (42.14) | 48.44 (44.10) | 53.71 (47.13) | 57.08 (49.07) |
| $T_7$ | 13.5 (21.52) | 16.88 (24.26) | 21.27 (27.46) | 29.81 (33.09) | 33.74 (35.51) | 39.95 (39.20) | 44.94 (42.10) | 48.37 (44.06) | 51.79 (46.03) |
| $T_8$ | 13.0 (21.10) | 17.65 (24.84) | 25.68 (30.45) | 31.77 (34.31) | 38.97 (38.62) | 42.82 (40.87) | 45.34 (42.33) | 47.02 (43.29) | 48.27 (44.01) |
| $T_9$ | 13.1 (21.24) | 18.68 (25.61) | 25.15 (30.10) | 29.84 (33.11) | 36.90 (37.40) | 41.33 (40.00) | 48.42 (44.10) | 51.02 (45.58) | 52.72 (46.56) |
| $T_{10}$ | 13.9 (21.87) | 18.73 (25.64) | 23.49 (28.99) | 26.96 (31.28) | 32.31 (34.64) | 40.04 (39.25) | 47.57 (43.61) | 50.44 (45.25) | 53.12 (46.79) |
| $T_{11}$ | 12.9 (21.05) | 16.63 (24.06) | 21.36 (27.52) | 24.08 (29.39) | 30.70 (33.65) | 35.26 (36.43) | 38.99 (38.64) | 40.71 (39.65) | 42.71 (40.81) |

**Contd…..**

| Treatment | Disease incidence (% wilting of branches) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Before drenching | After treatment (Days) | | | | | | | |
| | | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 105 | 120 |
| $T_{12}$ | 12.3 (20.49) | 16.75 (24.16) | 24.29 (29.53) | 29.53 (32.92) | 32.97 (35.04) | 35.82 (36.76) | 36.55 (37.20) | 38.61 (38.41) | 39.53 (38.96) |
| $T_{13}$ | 13.2 (21.31) | 17.48 (24.72) | 21.76 (27.81) | 25.35 (30.23) | 29.80 (33.08) | 33.41 (35.31) | 34.36 (35.88) | 34.28 (35.83) | 36.55 (37.20) |
| $T_{14}$ | 12.5 (20.67) | 18.45 (25.44) | 20.97 (27.25) | 24.34 (29.56) | 29.95 (33.18) | 31.85 (34.36) | 32.71 (34.89) | 32.71 (34.89) | 32.71 (34.89) |
| $T_{15}$ | 13.0 (21.09) | 22.65 (28.42) | 29.58 (32.94) | 38.07 (38.10) | 54.92 (47.82) | 67.90 (55.49) | 93.16 (74.84) | 100.00 (90.00) | 100.00 (90.00) |
| S.Em.± | 0.69 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.98 | 0.68 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 0.67 | 0.82 |
| CD at 5% | 1.97 | 2.47 | 2.29 | 2.81 | 1.92 | 2.17 | 2.39 | 1.93 | 2.35 |

* Figures in parenthesis arc sine transformed value

$T_1$ : Carbendazim (0.2%) - Carbendazim (0.2%) - Carbendazim (0.2%)
$T_2$ : Propiconazole (0.2%) - Propiconazole (0.2%) - Propiconazole (0.2%)
$T_3$ : Platinum (0.7 g/l) - Platinum (0.7 g/l) - Platinum (0.7 g/l)
$T_4$ : Diamond (0.7 g/l) - Diamond (0.7 g/l) - Diamond (0.7 g/l)
$T_5$ : *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5 g/l) - *T. harzianum* (Th-R) (5 g/l) - *T. harzianum*(Th-R) (5 g/l)
$T_6$ : *P. fluorescens* (RP-46) (5 g/l)-*P. fluorescens*(RP-46) (5 g/l)-*P. fluorescens* (RP-46) (5 g/l)
$T_7$ : Platinum (0.7 g/l) - Propiconazole (0.2%) - Platinum (0.7 g/l)
$T_8$ : Diamond (0.7 g/l) - Propiconazole (0.2%) - Diamond (0.7 g/l)
$T_9$ : Platinum (0.7 g/l) - Carbendazim (0.2%) - Platinum (0.7 g/l)
$T_{10}$: Diamond (0.7 g/l) - Carbendazim (0.2%) - Diamond (0.7 g/l)
$T_{11}$: Carbendazim (0.2%) - Platinum (0.7 g/l) - Carbendazim (0.2%)
$T_{12}$: Carbendazim (0.2%) - Diamond (0.7 g/l) - Carbendazim (0.2%)
$T_{13}$: Propiconazole (0.2%) - Platinum (0.7 g/l) - Propiconazole (0.2%)
$T_{14}$: Propiconazole (0.2%) - Diamond (0.7 g/l) – Propiconazole (0.2%)
$T_{15}$: Control

**Table 2. Development of bio-intensive diseases management of pomegranate wilt during 2015**

| Treatment | Disease incidence (% wilting of branches) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Before drenching | After treatment (Days) | | | | | | | |
| | | 15 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 90 | 105 | 120 |
| $T_1$ | 13.80 (21.81) | 18.36 (25.36) | 21.71 (27.76) | 24.15 (29.43) | 27.60 (31.69) | 29.87 (33.12) | 33.32 (35.25) | 33.32 (35.25) | 34.51 (35.97)* |
| $T_2$ | 13.33 (21.41) | 15.35 (23.06) | 17.65 (24.84) | 17.65 (24.84) | 16.77 (24.17) | 14.88 (22.69) | 12.90 (21.05) | 11.61 (19.92) | 10.58 (18.98) |
| $T_3$ | 13.52 (21.52) | 20.49 (26.86) | 23.91 (29.23) | 29.67 (32.98) | 37.59 (37.80) | 42.20 (40.49) | 45.67 (42.50) | 48.90 (44.37) | 50.01 (45.01) |
| $T_4$ | 13.43 (21.50) | 15.17 (22.92) | 16.97 (24.33) | 18.63 (25.57) | 18.74 (25.65) | 19.63 (26.30) | 19.34 (26.09) | 19.04 (25.87) | 18.53 (25.50) |
| $T_5$ | 12.73 (20.91) | 14.57 (22.44) | 16.05 (23.62) | 18.05 (25.14) | 19.05 (25.88) | 21.68 (27.75) | 21.10 (27.34) | 21.10 (27.34) | 20.66 (27.03) |
| $T_6$ | 12.00 (20.27) | 20.20 (26.69) | 26.20 (30.78) | 33.76 (35.52) | 38.11 (38.12) | 43.66 (41.35) | 47.93 (43.81) | 53.92 (47.25) | 57.77 (49.48) |
| $T_7$ | 13.43 (21.47) | 17.12 (24.42) | 21.88 (27.88) | 30.60 (33.58) | 35.36 (36.49) | 45.15 (42.22) | 46.44 (42.96) | 49.05 (44.46) | 53.83 (47.20) |
| $T_8$ | 12.5 (20.68) | 19.37 (26.10) | 26.02 (30.64) | 31.92 (34.40) | 38.57 (38.39) | 44.25 (41.70) | 46.67 (43.09) | 48.87 (44.35) | 51.12 (45.64) |
| $T_9$ | 12.19 (20.41) | 18.93 (25.76) | 24.46 (29.63) | 28.87 (32.50) | 35.54 (36.59) | 41.13 (39.89) | 45.62 (42.48) | 48.97 (44.41) | 55.55 (48.19) |
| $T_{10}$ | 12.60 (20.79) | 20.16 (26.68) | 26.27 (30.82) | 29.72 (33.03) | 35.65 (36.66) | 45.19 (42.24) | 50.13 (45.07) | 53.73 (47.14) | 56.00 (48.45) |
| $T_{11}$ | 12.92 (20.98) | 18.57 (25.52) | 23.31 (28.85) | 26.81 (31.17) | 31.34 (34.04) | 37.35 (37.67) | 40.44 (39.49) | 42.92 (40.93) | 45.34 (42.33) |

**Contd…..**

| Treatment | Disease incidence (% wilting of branches) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Before drenching | After treatment (Days) | | | | | | | |
| | | **15** | **30** | **45** | **60** | **75** | **90** | **105** | **120** |
| $T_{12}$ | 12.97 (21.01) | 17.55 (24.74) | 24.36 (29.57) | 30.19 (33.33) | 33.87 (35.58) | 38.41 (38.30) | 39.24 (38.79) | 40.53 (39.54) | 41.72 (40.23) |
| $T_{13}$ | 13.05 (21.16) | 19.19 (25.93) | 23.89 (29.24) | 29.95 (33.14) | 34.64 (36.04) | 36.94 (37.43) | 38.18 (38.16) | 39.25 (38.79) | 40.53 (39.54) |
| $T_{14}$ | 13.43 (21.50) | 19.29 (26.05) | 22.93 (28.61) | 27.65 (31.72) | 32.53 (34.77) | 33.60 (35.42) | 36.16 (36.96) | 37.23 (37.60) | 38.57 (38.39) |
| $T_{15}$ | 13.66 (21.68) | 21.63 (27.70) | 29.54 (32.92) | 37.45 (37.73) | 51.18 (45.68) | 65.76 (54.27) | 93.16 (74.85) | 100.00 (90.00) | 100.00 (90.00) |
| S.Em.± | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.61 |
| CD at 5% | 2.31 | 2.31 | 2.069 | 2.00 | 1.87 | 2.91 | 2.08 | 2.31 | 1.79 |

* Figures in parenthesis arc sine transformed value



**Table 3. Terminal disease incidence and fruit yield in development bio-intensive disease management of pomegranate wilt during 2014-**

**2015**

| Sl. No | Treatment No. | Disease incidence (% wilting of branches) | | Pooled Mean | Wilt (%) reduction over control | Yield (t/h) | | Pooled Mean | Yield (t/h) % increase over control |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2014 | 2015 | | | 2014 | 2015 | | |
| 1 | $T_1$ | 29.98 (33.20) | 34.51 (35.97) * | 31.91 (34.37) | 67.75 | 7.05 | 7.09 | 7.07 | 7.07 |
| 2 | $T_2$ | 10.28 (18.70) | 10.58 (18.98) | 10.53 (18.94) | 89.57 | 10.31 | 10.43 | 10.37 | 10.37 |
| 3 | $T_3$ | 44.11 (41.62) | 50.01 (45.01) | 46.91 (43.24) | 52.94 | 4.95 | 5.18 | 5.06 | 5.06 |
| 4 | $T_4$ | 18.33 (25.35) | 18.53 (25.50) | 18.44 (25.40) | 81.57 | 8.70 | 8.78 | 8.74 | 8.74 |
| 5 | $T_5$ | 19.93 (26.51) | 20.66 (27.03) | 20.31 (26.74) | 79.70 | 8.59 | 8.55 | 8.57 | 8.57 |
| 6 | $T_6$ | 57.08 (49.07) | 57.77 (49.48) | 57.41 (49.27) | 42.57 | 3.53 | 3.60 | 3.56 | 3.56 |
| 7 | $T_7$ | 51.79 (46.03) | 53.83 (47.20) | 52.53 (46.42) | 47.19 | 4.13 | 3.86 | 3.99 | 3.99 |
| 8 | $T_8$ | 48.27 (44.01) | 51.12 (45.64) | 49.92 (44.98) | 50.30 | 4.69 | 4.73 | 4.71 | 4.71 |
| 9 | $T_9$ | 52.72 (46.56) | 55.55 (48.19) | 54.90 (47.80) | 45.86 | 4.01 | 4.13 | 4.07 | 4.07 |
| 10 | $T_{10}$ | 53.12 (46.79) | 56.00 (48.45) | 54.00 (47.29) | 45.44 | 3.75 | 3.86 | 3.81 | 3.81 |

**Contd…..**

| Sl. No | Treatment No. | Disease incidence (% wilting of branches) | | Pooled Mean | Wilt (%) reduction over control | Yield (t/h) | | Pooled Mean | Yield (t/h) % increase over control |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2014 | 2015 | | | 2014 | 2015 | | |
| 11 | $T_{11}$ | 42.71 (40.81) | 45.34 (42.33) | 44.51 (41.83) | 55.97 | 5.33 | 5.36 | 5.34 | 5.34 |
| 12 | $T_{12}$ | 39.53 (38.96) | 41.72 (40.23) | 41.42 (40.00) | 59.37 | 5.55 | 5.66 | 5.61 | 5.61 |
| 13 | $T_{13}$ | 36.55 (37.20) | 40.53 (39.54) | 38.81 (38.54) | 61.46 | 6.04 | 6.19 | 6.11 | 6.11 |
| 14 | $T_{14}$ | 32.71 (34.89) | 38.57 (38.39) | 31.91 (34.37) | 64.36 | 6.53 | 6.68 | 6.60 | 6.60 |
| 15 | $T_{15}$ | 100.00 (90.00) | 100.00 (90.00) | 100.00 (90.00) | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - |
| | **S. Em. ±** | **0.82** | **0.61** | **0.59** | | **0.09** | **0.12** | **0.10** | |
| | **CD at 5%** | **2.35** | **1.79** | **1.70** | | **0.28** | **0.35** | **0.29** | |

* Figures in parenthesis arc sine transformed value

**Table 4. Economics of development of bio-intensive disease management trial on pomegranate as influenced by wilt disease**

| Treatment | Mean Yield (t/h) | Cost of cultivation (Rs $ha^{-1}$) | Treatment cost (Rs $ha^{-1}$) | Total cost of cultivation (Rs $ha^{-1}$) | Gross returns (Rs $ha^{-1}$) | Net returns (Rs $ha^{-1}$) | C:B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $T_1$ | 7.07 | 275703 | 35437 | 311140 | 636300 | 325160 | 1:2.04 |
| $T_2$ | 10.37 | 275703 | 83700 | 359403 | 933300 | 573897 | 1:2.59 |
| $T_3$ | 5.06 | 275703 | 15828 | 291531 | 456300 | 164769 | 1:1.56 |
| $T_4$ | 8.74 | 275703 | 7087 | 282790 | 786600 | 503810 | 1:2.78 |
| $T_5$ | 8.57 | 275703 | 25312 | 301015 | 771300 | 470285 | 1:2.56 |
| $T_6$ | 3.56 | 275703 | 25312 | 301015 | 321300 | 20285 | 1:1.06 |
| $T_7$ | 3.99 | 275703 | 38452 | 314155 | 360000 | 45845 | 1:1.14 |
| $T_8$ | 4.71 | 275703 | 32625 | 308328 | 423900 | 115572 | 1:1.37 |
| $T_9$ | 4.07 | 275703 | 22365 | 298068 | 366300 | 68232 | 1:1.22 |
| $T_{10}$ | 3.81 | 275703 | 16537 | 292240 | 342900 | 50660 | 1:1.17 |
| $T_{11}$ | 5.34 | 275703 | 28901 | 304604 | 481500 | 176896 | 1:1.58 |
| $T_{12}$ | 5.61 | 275703 | 25987 | 301690 | 504900 | 203210 | 1:1.67 |
| $T_{13}$ | 6.11 | 275703 | 61076 | 336779 | 550800 | 214021 | 1:1.63 |
| $T_{14}$ | 6.60 | 275703 | 58162 | 333865 | 594900 | 261035 | 1:1.78 |
| $T_{15}$ | 7.07 | 275703 | 0.00 | 275703 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1:0.00 |