

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_105123 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Challenges Faced by Homeowners at the Planning Stage of House Construction |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes Yes No. To the author: what are the significant and specific(%) findings? No. To the author: The personal, economical, and technical challenges and their relation with the problem of the study should be studied deeply and specifically. No. The manuscript in need to deep discussions and statistical analysis to find out specific (%) and significant findings. Moreover, the size of sample is not efficient to treat such a problem effectively. No. Number of the cited literature is not enough and the review of literature is not adequate to recognize the gap of the study. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | An adequate proofreading is recommended. | |
| Optional/General comments | More comments are included in the reviewer attachment. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Name: | Qasim M. Shakir |
| Department, University & Country | University of Kufa, Iraq |