

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_105123
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges Faced by Homeowners at the Planning Stage of House Construction
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The manuscript is important to the scientific community and society as it touch directly to the problem that users or house owners suffered from it .</p> <p>2- The title looks suitable but can be shorter and adding the term "design"</p> <p>3- The abstract is comprehensive but need to use the word "design" because the subject directed to the design of the house in overall</p> <p>4- The subsections are perfectly structured, but the context needs to improve, the introduction need to include update references and review new literature that discussed the subject directly.</p> <p>5- The article in overall is scientifically correct</p> <p>6- The references need to update and adding new references related to the subject, this subject is very important and many of researchers highlighted the important variables</p> <p>7- The discussion part needs to be in the discussion of the finding form not just presenting the finding also need to link the discussion with secondary data (literature) to validate the findings</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English quality is good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The article need to included some samples of the houses plans to support the problems and to introduce the main needs of the users in the city (the case)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oday Qusay Abdulqader Alchalabi
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering, University of Mosul, Iraq