

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_105074
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON: COMPREHENSIVE CONSEQUENCES OF GALL STONES AS CONCOMITANT DISEASE IN HYPOTHYROIDISM (CCCCH)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. This article is very interesting. Yes Yes NO NO Sufficient & Recent Review article must have abstract, Introduction & Discussion but should not have method and result.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, suitable.	
Optional/General comments	Article has not been presented as per authors note. Author must be asked to write as per authors note. Table is there in discussion, to be avoided. Physiological aspect is missing. Title of the article has not been followed in discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Snehasis Bhunia
Department, University & Country	Uttar Pradesh University of Medical Sciences, India