

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_104997
Title of the Manuscript:	AN APPLICATION OF K-NEAREST-NEIGHBOR REGRESSION IN MAIZE YIELD PREDICTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. It addresses issues related to agriculture (maize production)</p> <p>yes</p> <p>seems lengthy</p> <p>somewhat ok</p> <p>yes</p> <p>sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality can be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Contents in the Abstract are very less. Make it more clear and simple.</p> <p>2. A detailed discussions on results and discussions may be included.</p> <p>3. More references can be added (only 7 included)</p> <p>4. Introduction and literature review are combined. A separate topic on detailed literature review can be included.</p> <p>5. Conclusion topic is very small. It may have detailed discussions.</p> <p>6. The detailed discussion about R software for problem solving may be added.</p> <p>7. If the figures are not self-drawn, reference must be included in figure.</p> <p>8. The alignment is not proper throughout the paper.</p> <p>9. farmer spelling mistake. Check English quality and spelling.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Sabarinath
Department, University & Country	K.L.N College of Engineering, India