

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJEE_105223  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF THE EXISTENCE OF COAL MINES IN SOUTH KALIMANTAN PROVINCE INDONESIA |
| Type of the Article      | Case study  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. Some words are still in Bahasa Indonesia and many mistypes, including the abstract. Please bring this manuscript to professional proofreader for a better readability. In abstract, it needs to be explained the brief method used in this research. In conclusion part, add the implication from your result. Make sure that the keywords consist of 3-5 keywords.</p> <p>No. The paper should also tidy its tables and eliminate unnecessary rows.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. Add references from the Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</p>                             |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>No. Academic English needs to be improved.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>In introduction: it should be explained in a clearer the urgency of the coal mining and clearer the findings of prior studies and it can help to show the research gap.<br/>Procedure of validity and reliability should be provided and please explain the analytical tool used in processing the results of the questionnaire.<br/>In table 1, is this population data still the same in 2022-2023?<br/>Please add the selected respondent criteria.<br/>In results and discussion, the criteria of measuring validity and reliability should be presented, for instance follows Hair et al. (2017).</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Maya Indriastuti</b>                          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Indonesia</b> |