

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_105352
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Norms of Toeplitz Matrices with the Generalized Mersenne Numbers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Result of Review:</p> <p>Title A Study on the Norms of Toeplitz Matrices with the Generalized Mersenne Numbers Decision of Paper Selection : Accepted under the condition of making the : following comments Comments from Reviewer: Evaluation (Please evaluate the manuscript by grade 1-5):</p> <p>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Below Average 1=Poor</p> <p>Items: Grade: Contribution to existing knowledge 5 Organization and readability 4 Soundness of methodology 3 Evidence supports conclusion 4 Adequacy of literature review 5</p> <p>Suggestions to Author/s In this paper, author study A Study on the Norms of Toeplitz Matrices with the Generalized Mersenne Numbers. It is an interesting paper. I would like to recommend it for publication in Archives of Current Research International provided that the author also would like to read it carefully and to polish it the best they can. 1- The abstract should be perfected including language and logic. It needs to be reviewed and to be written in a good way to stating the obtained result. 2- Should be better reformulate the Open Problem, if possible. 3- paper has enough quality for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rasul Rasuli
Department, University & Country	PNU, Iran