

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_106105
Title of the Manuscript:	Transmission of parasitosis in a community and treatment option
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, because it tried to highlight STHs that are neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs).</p> <p>Transmission and treatment options regarding helminthosis in a community</p> <p>The abstract has been reviewed and corrected</p> <p>The reviewer has provided suitable subheadings for the result and discussion section</p> <p>I believe it was coming from a medical institution, and is considered useful. Salient corrections were indicated</p> <p>Up-to-date Good references, but must ensure compliance with Journal's instruction to authors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion must be based on the results of this and similar studies • Conclusion and recommendations must also be based ONLY on the findings from the study 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is coming from a Franco-phone country so inherent English grammatical errors have been rectified to make the paper scholarly communicable worldwide.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Obiora Osegboka
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria