

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104023
Title of the Manuscript:	Biological indices influence by sesame based intercropping system with different levels of nitrogen
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript important for scientific community. (A nice work on an ancient crop namely Sesame was conducted to improve crop productivity). 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. Few points suggested. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> <p>Yes and find below few suggestions. (The additional suggestions/comments are as follows). This paper nicely addressed the biological indices influence by sesame based intercropping system with different levels of nitrogen with cowpea and green gram. It is recommended to provide further followings details for the improvement of MS. Abstract: back ground, aim and conclusion is missing. Keywords: Need to arrange in alphabetical order [A-Z]. Introduction: Aim of study is missing. Materials and methods: Experimental field site missing the name of country. The soil is characterized by medium to poor drainage capacity and good water holding capacity [sour information is missing]. Results / Discussion: Table 5 need to be address in results and discussion. References: References cited in main text missing from reference list [De et al. (2002); Kithan <i>et al.</i> (2017). Anonymous, (2021) [recheck with introduction part]</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	May be accepted for publication after minor revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Shafiq
Department, University & Country	University of Karachi, Pakistan