

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105645
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic studies for yield components and screening for drought tolerance at seedling stage of Maize (Zea mays L.) genotypes.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The topic of research chosen rightly & relevant for scientific community</p> <p>Yes. Title of Article is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes. Its Comprehensive and written nicely.</p> <p>Yes. It's very appropriate.</p> <p>Yes. Its correct scientifically and presented excellently.</p> <p>Need to provide recent references</p> <p>Apart from above some minor corrections regarding typographic mistakes, grammer, & punctuations & technical highlighted in RED INK should be corrected before acceptance</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. Language used is very scientific and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Journal guidelines must be followed</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramakant P Gajbhiye
Department, University & Country	Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India