

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104493
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL MONTHLY AND ANNUAL RAINFALL AND TEMPERATURE VARIABILITY (1980–2020) IN BARINGO COUNTY COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. NO. Subsections of this article categorises it to a concept paper or a project proposal.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes, however, the references should be done as per referencing procedures.</p> <p>Comments. This articles do not qualify a paper the way it is. Statement problem, justification, objectives, etc should not be here. Literature review should fall under Background to the study and should be part of the study background.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments. This articles do not qualify a paper the way it is. Statement problem, justification, objectives, etc should not be here. Literature review should fall under Background to the study and should be part of the study background. I don't understand how Laikipia which is another county is coming into the article. Clearly indicate how. Remove acronyms and abbreviations. Give SI units for rainfall, e.g. litres, millilitres, etc and for temperature e.g. °C or °F.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Willis O. Aguko
Department, University & Country	Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya