

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_105602
Title of the Manuscript:	Exposure to Mycotoxins and its importance in public health
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Very interesting, information on mycotoxins these days is an integral part of public health. Knowledge of mycotoxins and their effects on public health must challenge scientists looking for techniques to reduce contamination by saprotrophic fungi in food.</p> <p>2. OK for the title;</p> <p>3. Why are some keywords in italics and others not? The aim of the study must be clearly stated, as well as a slight methodological note in the summary. This will help to orientate the reader.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ (Reiss, J., 1978, González Salgado, 2010) : Please ensure that all authors are quoted in the same way throughout the document. ○ Also harmonise use in quotations &, and ○ Write scientific names correctly using binomial nomenclature and the rigour of scientific names for fungal species. ○ Figure: change them with those that have a high visibility resolution. Refer to the citation of the source of information for the figures in the journal guidelines. ○ At the end of the conclusion, write a sentence that would suggest a way forward for this work, opening the possibility of seeking appropriate methods for reducing mycotoxin contamination of foodstuffs. ○ Harmonising references 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Serferbe Signaboubo
Department, University & Country	University of Dschang, Cameroon