

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_104811 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | FORMULATION AND STANDARDIZATION OF GUJARATI SNACK (KHAKHRA) FORTIFIED WITH WHOLE UNRIPE PUMPKIN (CUCURBITA MOSCHATA) POWDER |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Snacks are readily available in a variety of forms, but they should be avoided because they are often harmful and poor in nutrients. However, they can be enhanced nutritionally by adding fruits, veggies, pulses, or grains, to provide enough vitality as well as resolve health problems. The present study aims to improve the nutritional quality and value of the diet in these local snacks</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes, but the abstract has no conclusions.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Quite recent.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. Yes</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Andrei Zbucea |
| Department, University & Country | District Emergency Hospital of Ploiesti, Romania |