

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_103479 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment of Shelf Life of Wheat Flour Based Noodles Enriched with Different Levels of Eggs |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ The is an interesting article aimed to determine the shelf life of wheat flour-based noodles enhanced with various quantities of eggs, as well as assess the quality of the noodles based on their look and texture. ❖ The title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article. ❖ The abstract offers an accurate summary about the results, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand. ❖ Please rearrange keywords alphabetically. ❖ The manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization. ❖ The manuscript is scientifically outstanding. ❖ More and recent references should be added in the introduction. ❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color. ❖ Introduction: It lacks the objective of the study, so please include it. ❖ Microbial analysis: Please include the culture media used with the catalogue number for more clarity. ❖ Tables: comprehensive and clear. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ English needs to be improved | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ None | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan |
| Department, University & Country | Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq |