

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>                       |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_AJMAH_105294</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>The Evaluation of Obstetric Outcome of Postdated Pregnancy</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p><b>Yes, But the manuscript should improve the quality of the manuscript</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p>   |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p><b>Abstract</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follow the format given by the journal for the abstract</li> <li>Mention the aim/purpose, sampling technique used, duration of follow up , and statistical tests used in the abstract.</li> <li>Conclusion should be drawn from the study findings.</li> <li>Revise the key words.</li> </ul> <p><b>Main Text</b></p> <p><b>Methodology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Follow the format given by the journal for the main text-through out</li> <li>Review the absolute contra indications written in the exclusion criteria</li> <li>Clearly mention the standard protocols followed for all the four groups (Refer Table 3)</li> <li>Mention the setting/</li> <li>Methods used to calculate the sample size</li> <li>Details of Data collection instrument</li> <li>Ethical considerations; clearance letter, PIS and consent form.</li> <li>It is mentioned that chi-square test is being performed to find out the association. But data is missing in results.</li> </ul> <p><b>Results</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Combine table 1 and 2</li> <li>Avoid non Stannard abbreviations in text and table</li> <li>Include figure 1 in table 1.</li> <li>Mention both frequency and percentage in tables.</li> <li>Avoid repeating % in side the tables.</li> <li>Divide the results into various sections to organize the data</li> </ul> <p><b>Discussion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Findings of the study are not discussed. Quoted the previous literature though. Compare the present study findings with the existing evidence.</li> </ul> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

|                                  |   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mention the limitations and recommendations</li></ul> |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments | Editing for language and grammar to be done   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Ramya Kundayi Ravi</b>   |
| Department, University & Country | <b>RAK Medical and Health Sciences University, United Arab Emirates</b> |