

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_105111
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance in Transgenic Mutiara Catfish using Low Protein Feed
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajb2t.com/index.php/AJB2T/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes Partially important</p> <p>Small change recommended as per research work done Growth Performance in Sangkuriang catfish (<i>Clarias Gariepinus</i> Var. <i>Sangkuriang</i>) and Transgenic Mutiara Catfish(<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>) using Low Protein Feed yes but suggested to improve</p> <p>Figure not in good quality and figure 1, not blurred</p> <p>Partially acceptable after major changes</p> <p>Not sufficient, suggested to add recent relevant studies in discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Original research work may be usually measure fish length (mm or cm) and weight (gm) photos, experimental set up 2) During this study initial size (cm) and Weight in gm) used for this experiment, and growth minimum and maximum size of fish in start and end of experiment 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Average and suggested to improve</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Scientific names not complete and several without italics 2) Weekly growth noticed and water quality parameters noticed can be added or in graph 3) References are very less and suggested to increase 4) Only few parameters recorded, if any other parameters noticed in this growth performance studies, it is suggested to analyse and add in results 5) Since earlier studies used upto G3 stage, this study used G5 new generation of transgenic Mutiara cat fish, the authenticity of study should be must, samples fishes collected for this study place recommended, if references relevant to G5 images not shown clearly <p>this study used G5 new generation of transgenic Mutiara cat fish, the authenticity of study should be must be added with reference to seed production achievement with supporting original quality mages</p> <p>This is one of invasive species in few other countries, if it is not transgenic, hence author suggested to produce original photos of fish collected place hatchery/research centre, fishes used in this study and where it is released after study</p> <p>This study one photo suspected to collected from, Ibnu Dwi Buwono <i>et al.</i> 2021. Growth hormone transgenesis and feed composition influence growth and protein and amino acid content in transgenic G3 mutiara catfish (<i>Clarius gariepinus</i>)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhukya Bhaskar
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi Centre for Aquaculture, India