

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_104097
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF RECYCLED PLASTIC WASTE INTO BRICKS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF HOUSING PROJECTS IN GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is interesting and important for scientific community; The study focused on utilizing recycled plastic waste for manufacturing of bricks for various uses in civil engineering projects.</p> <p>The title of the manuscript is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the manuscript Must be Improved,</p> <p>The subsection and structure Must be Improved; after a result is presented the discussion of the result should follow or discussion comes first then presentation of the result (Graph or Table)</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct but Must be Improved</p> <p>The references are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The authors need to carefully double-check the manuscript to minimize typographical and grammatical errors. The whole text of the manuscript should be reviewed</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author has studied several parameters regarding the physical properties and durability of the produced brick. However, the manuscript needs major revision.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Temple Chimuanya Odimegwu
Department, University & Country	Infrastructure University Kuala Lumpur Malaysia, Nigeria