

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_104097
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF RECYCLED PLASTIC WASTE INTO BRICKS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF HOUSING PROJECTS IN GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. YES. Waste plastic mixing with aggregate to constructions is an important way for solid waste plastics. 2. YES. 3. YES. 4. YES. However, the figures (Fig.1 and Fig.2) are not informative enough. They should be clear for process experiments. 5. YES. The multidisciplinary works in scientific publications are very important. For example, this work could made in civil engineering and chemical engineering and chemistry. 6. YES. However, the alphabetical order must be checked. Because some referees are not order.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. YES.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adil Koç
Department, University & Country	Inonu University, Turkey