

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_106051</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Absence of <math>\beta</math>-tubulin SNPs associated with drug resistance in <i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i> infections of school-age children in Bungoma County, Kenya</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? Yes</b> If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this interesting study "Absence of <math>\beta</math>-tubulin SNPs associated with drug resistance in <i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i> infections of school-age children in Bungoma County, Kenya". However, I have some comments and recommendations:</p> <p>Comments:            Title:- Is appropriate for the content of the article.            Abstract:- Represents a suitable summary of the work.            Article content:- The methods and results have been well explained.            Conclusions:- Are justified on the basis of the study.            Recommendations:            Discussion:- Mention the limitations of the study.            References:- Stick to the format of the journal.</p> <p>General:- Revise language, grammar and syntax.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Akmal El-Mazny</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cairo University, Egypt</b>