

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_104747 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Dental Stool and Maintenance of Occupational Health: A Literature Review. |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community, particularly for professionals and researchers in the field of dentistry and occupational health.</p> <p>The title of the article, "Types of Dental Stools Used in Dentistry: Emphasis on Occupational Health," is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the study's aims, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. Based on the information provided in the manuscript, it appears to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>Based on the information provided in the manuscript, it appears to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes its sufficient</p> <p>Grammatical correction and punctuation need to be corrected.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Yes it is suitable for scholarly communications but little correction are recommended</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Shireen Sadruddin |
| Department, University & Country | Altamash Institute of Dental Medicine, Pakistan |