

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105129
Title of the Manuscript:	A review: Impact of organic farming practices on soil organic matter
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It represents a review on organic matter in agricultural production, mentioning its own effects, as well as mentioning the disadvantage of producing in this way, which is time.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes.</p> <p>4. Yes, I also suggested a section on soil texture.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. No, in my opinion, more bibliographic citations are required because it is a review article. In the document, there are some errors regarding the dates in the references. It is necessary to review the references that are correct as well as the written form of the same (highlighted paragraph in the document).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I think it is somewhat limited as it is a review article, I do not know if the review is in a specific region, if so, it will be good to mention it in the title and in the document. Otherwise, I suggest carrying out a major revision, some aspects mentioned are direct in the production, but it is also necessary to deepen the direct and indirect part that causes organic matter in the soil.</p> <p>Another aspect that I expected to read was the disadvantages of switching from conventional to inorganic production; only the time it takes to see those benefits was mentioned.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miguel Armando López Beltrán
Department, University & Country	Autonomous of Sinaloa University, México