

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_106078
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of softwood grafting in Avocado (<i>Persea americana</i> Mill) var. TKD1
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It is very important because the cultivation of avocado is now gaining popularity by virtues of its nutritional properties and increasing health awareness. The standardization of softwood grafting done on the basis of seed weight and days after growing rootstock is well addressed. These plants had better vigor and faster germination rates, all of which could reduce the length of time spent in the nursery while still ensuring the quality of the material, which is influenced by improved seedling growth.</p> <p>2. Yes (Title is well suitable for the manuscript)</p> <p>3. Abstract is within frame and comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The methodology used is good but some aspects were not handled.</p> <p>5. It is correct, except that the referring style is not proper if the author is utilizing the most recent edition of the APA referencing style.</p> <p>6. It is except for little punctuation errors and some hanging statements.</p> <p>7.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Except for minor punctuation & grammars issues and a few dangling lines	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Subsections are interconnected.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukesh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Government College Bahadurgarh, India