

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105337
Title of the Manuscript:	A comprehensive review on Seasonal incidence of Fall army worm, <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> (J.E. Smith) and their management
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>3. (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>4. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>5. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>6. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>7. Are the references sufficient and recent? / If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>OK</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>-Introduction: remove the colon that follows the Introduction. To show the classifier of the species <i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> (line 1 of the first paragraph). Remove all the words that are underlined and highlighted in yellow in the genus <i>Spodoptera</i>, since it is repeated, so it is sufficient and correct, with the initial letter followed by the dot S. (fifth line/line of the first paragraph, as well as in the rest of the text). Review the points made in points/aspects 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. Review the scientific name of the species <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>, since it is in italics. - Conclusion: review the genus underlined and highlighted in yellow (first line). - References: review everything highlighted in yellow color in references 7, 15, 19, 20, 23, 25, 25, 33, 42, 43, 45 and 56. * This is a good manuscript, very well conceived, from beginning to end, just take into account the points made to achieve a higher quality product.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Fimia Duarte
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Villa Clara (UCM-VC), Cuba