

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104851
Title of the Manuscript:	WEATHER BASED RICE CROP YIELD FORECASTING USING DIFFERENT REGRESSION TECHNIQUE & NEURAL NETWORK APPROACH FOR PRAYAGRAJ REGION
Type of the Article	Quasi-experimental

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	YES YES YES YES Somewhat only Before 2015 around 15 references, after 2020 only one. So need recent ones. Even ERIC & Mendelay in 2023 also references available	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor Communications, because of lot of grammatical errors (Myself identified in Red in Colour)	
Optional/General comments	Poor communication and need to be revise	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Nachimuthu
Department, University & Country	Periyar University, India