

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104664
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate assessment and pasting properties of gluten-free and refined wheat flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ This is an intriguing research that sought to examine the nutritional composition and pasting characteristics of gluten-free kodo, kutki, and refined wheat flour. ❖ The title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article. ❖ The abstract offers an accurate summary of the paper, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand. ❖ The manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization. ❖ The manuscript is scientifically outstanding. ❖ More and recent references should be added. Also, please arrange the references in one format. It is noted that some references are in alphabetical order and others are in continuous numbers. Therefore, please unify the format of writing references in the text. ❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color. ❖ Many of the words outlined in yellow should be deleted. ❖ Please re-arrange the keywords alphabetically. ❖ Tables: Comprehensive and clear. However, the Table- 1 is not cited in the text, please check. ❖ When referring to names by abbreviations, the full name is mentioned, followed by the abbreviation when it is mentioned for the first time, after which only the abbreviation is mentioned. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ English language needs to improved 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ None 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan
Department, University & Country	Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq