

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_103723</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Soil Science and Sustainable Farming: Paving the Way for Food Security</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Thank you for contacting me. The review article is topical and informative. However, I also have some comments that, if addressed by the authors, could add value to the readability of this paper.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are paragraphs without any citations at all. I believe that every statement made by the authors in the review should have sufficient citation support.</li> <li>Considering the frequency of this issue throughout the paper, I would advise the authors to use online plagiarism detection tools to ensure that they have not inadvertently copied someone else's ideas from other articles.</li> <li>To enhance the reliability of the article, it would be beneficial to include more than three article references per paragraph.</li> <li>Additionally, it is important to include up-to-date papers (from 2020 to 2023) as references, rather than solely relying on papers published before 2020. This will also increase the number of reference articles the authors have reviewed. As a review paper, it is crucial to conduct an extensive review of as many articles as possible. Otherwise, there is a high probability that this review paper will receive minimal citations. In other words, a review paper with only 22 reference articles is unlikely to be widely utilized.</li> <li>I would advise the authors to search for review paper writing techniques online and make minor modifications accordingly. It is also important to thoroughly assess the journal's guidelines for review articles to ensure clarity.</li> </ul> <p>I hope these suggestions are helpful in improving the quality of the review article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amare Sisay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopia</b>