

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_102990
Title of the Manuscript:	A Literature Review: Adjunctive Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors to Variceal Band Ligation in Cirrhotic Patients
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. No, the abstract should be in a standard format containing <b>Introduction, method, result and conclusion. It should also provide the summary of the total work.</b></p> <p>4. <b>No</b>, the manuscript structure should be organized having <b>Introduction, method, result discussion and conclusion.</b> There are also inappropriate subtitles.</p> <p>5. <b>No</b>, structural skeleton is not in the standard form, (see bulletin 4), the reference numbers should be within the full stops. The first 4 paragraphs are talking about the general concepts and should be categorized as a subtitle <b>Introduction</b>. Next another subtitle method should be incorporated and main descriptions of the methods how data were collected, organized and analysed should be described. Starting from the fifth paragraph they should be organized under a subtitle Discussion. On the discussion part actual findings expressed in percent, significant levels, Odds ratios of the reference articles should be discussed and compared. Topographic errors should be corrected.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient, there are huge articles about the subject matter on the web, so please incorporate more articles and summarize their content</p> <p>7. Full terms and Abbreviations ( in bracket) should be only once when it comes first then after abbreviations alone will be used.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Not; it requires grammar and language editing.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yazachew Engida Yismaw</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bahir Dar University College of Medicine and Health Science, Ethiopia</b>