

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_105795
Title of the Manuscript:	The Fecundity, Total Length, Body Weight, and Gonadal Length-Weight Relationships of Hilsa Shad (<i>Tenualosa ilisha</i>) in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. Provides relevant information on fertility/fecundity aspects for a species of commercial importance</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes (see text)</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Please correct this in the text.</p> <p>In Sec. References: references should be corrected as indicated in the guide for authors. See: https://peerreviewcentral.com/index.php?page/general-guideline-for-authors</p> <p>Figure 1 should be referenced in the body of the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>However, I recommend several corrections in the text (in CC mode)</p> <p>Check the use of ", " in the highlighted figures, also in the rest of the text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Consider including a map of the area.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Martín Caille
Department, University & Country	National University of Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Argentina