

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_106162
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical Correction of Webbed Neck using Modified Z-Plasty Technique: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- The procedure involved a modified Z-plasty technique to treat webbed neck, the surgical procedure is interesting and the figures are clear, but why there is no intraoperative figures??</p> <p>2- suitable 3-yes 4-yes 5-yes</p> <p>6- all the references is old and not in a good structure. I recommend the author to provide new references - the author didn't mention any complications related to the medical conditions and whats the advantages of using such a procedure compared with previous surgical method</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes but its need minor revision such as at the beginning of the conclusion in page number 7 (the surgical correction) should be (The surgical correction)</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article is good but need some improvements to reach the standard of scientific research.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Jamal Ahmad Saleem Alshorman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Tongji Medical College, China</b>