

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_101152
Title of the Manuscript:	A LITERATURE REVIEW ON THE HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION AND GENDER ENROLMENT, INCLUSIVE EDUCATION, GOVERNMENT FUNDING, AND GRADUATE MARKETABILITY IN RWANDA AND TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p>	<p>1. It's important enough</p> <p>2. Yes it is.</p>	

<p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>3. The editorial writing requires a little adjustment</p> <p>4. Yes, it is appropriate</p> <p>5. It's correct with a few notes</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent enough</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comment</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language is communicable</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The author's view of the problems that are the object of his research is quite good and clear, but there are a few notes that can be considered, namely:</p> <p>1. The editorial writing of the "Abstract" section should be aligned with the "Conclusion" section</p> <p>2. The order of the two parts should refer to the title, with the order of writing starting from (a) the history of the development of higher education and gender issues; (b) inclusive education; (c) government funding; (d) graduate marketability.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H. Sudiyono
Department, University & Country	IKIP, Indonesia