

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_105230
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement study of hydrometallurgical treatment process for Li-ion batteries waste
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is good for publishing after make some correction like:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- The number in all manuscript should be the same numbers (Arabic number without Romanic number).</li> <li>2- The words Figure or Table in the paragraph of all manuscript are should be the same between (figure) or without such as Figure.</li> <li>3- The lines and absorbance IR image should be clearer, bold lines and give suitable explanation.</li> <li>4- Refer the reference by [1] rather than Schultz et al., (2017) in any paragraph of all manuscript.</li> <li>5- The arrangement of all the references should be the same such as: [3] J. Ordoñez, E.J. Gago, A. Girard, Processes and technologies for the recycling and recovery of spent lithium-ion batteries, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 60 (2016) 195–205. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.363">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.363</a>. [4] X. Zeng, J. Li, Y. Ren, Prediction of various discarded lithium batteries in China, 2012 IEEE International Symposium on Sustainable Systems and Technology (ISSST). (2012). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1109/ISSST.2012.6228021">https://doi.org/10.1109/ISSST.2012.6228021</a>.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adel H. Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Taiz University, Yemen</b>