

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_104780
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF PROCEDURES IN DESIGNING BLENDED LEARNING INTEGRATED SOFT SKILLS IN HIGHER EDUCATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community. It brings forth a pertinent emerging issue of blended learning in higher education institutions.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are comprehensive.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Most references are not recent</p> <p>The second sentence in the discussion section reads "Preliminary research findings from a student survey indicated that students had poorer social interactions in asynchronous learning compared to blended learning due to the lack of face-to-face interaction with peers and instructors." The word "poorer" could be replaced with "poor" while a suitable replacement for the word "lack" should be sought since the use of lack in the sentence doesn't convey the conventional position in classroom setup.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert Kati
Department, University & Country	Kibabii University, Kenya