

# Exploring Regenerative Mechanisms: Comparative Analysis of Callus Induction and Shoot Regeneration in *Valeriana jatamansi* Jones through In-vitro and In-vivo Cultivation

## Abstract

*Valeriana Jatamansi* Jones, commonly known as Tagar, belongs to the Caprifoliaceae family and is valued for its medicinal properties. This research aims to assess the impact of specific plant growth regulators on different explants obtained from natural and in-vitro-cultivated plants. Leaves and nodal explants from both sources were utilized, treated with 2,4-D, NAA, and BAP, and monitored for 30 days. Results indicate widespread callus formation among various explant types. Particularly intriguing is the robust response of in-vitro-cultivated explants, in contrast to those from naturally grown plants. Nodal explants exhibited a remarkable tendency for producing multiple shoots, especially in a medium enriched with 20  $\mu\text{M}$  BAP, highlighting BAP's efficacy in promoting shoot regeneration in controlled settings. This study underscores the potential of tissue culture for establishing effective protocols to conserve this medicinal plant, offering insights into sustainable and responsible utilization for informed conservation strategies.

**Keywords:** Medicinal plant, 6-Benzylaminopurine, Multiplication, Callus, Conservation

## 1. Introduction

*Valeriana jatamansi* Jones, a vital medicinal herb in the Caprifoliaceae family, faces critical endangerment according to the IUCN list (Maurya et al., 2017). Known also as *V. wallichii*, it flourishes at 1000 to 3000 meters above sea level in the Himalayas (Prakash, 1999; Polunin & Stainton, 1987; Bhatt et al., 2013; Jugran et al., 2013), often in wet zones, woodlands, and stream edges. Its rhizome has been a staple in Ayurvedic and Unani medicine for ages, used for

obesity, epilepsy, snakebite, and mental health (Prakash, 1999). Additionally, this plant produces natural sedatives called valepotriates (Mishra, 2004; Violon et al., 1983; Singh et al., 2006). Urgent conservation measures are essential to safeguard this plant's role in traditional and modern medicine due to its unique qualities and significance.

The unauthorized and unscientific collection of wild plants, often with valuable medicinal uses, has significantly diminished their natural habitats, resulting in the classification of species like this one as "endangered" in India (Samant et al., 1998; CAMP, 2003). It becomes crucial for us to ensure the preservation of such species while using them sustainably. Traditionally, Tagar is grown from seeds, which have a slow germination process and prolonged dormancy. Another method involves root suckers, but this approach has limitations based on population size (Rana et al., 2004). Considering this, a promising approach might be large-scale multiplication using in vitro techniques, which has shown success for various Himalayan medicinal plants (Nadeem et al., 2000; Chandra et al., 2006; Giri et al., 2012; Pandey et al., 2004). This method is recognized as a potent solution for cultivating challenging-to-propagate species, particularly those that are endangered or rare, serving both commercial production and conservation goals (Nandi et al., 2002). This avenue holds significant promise for safeguarding the future of such valuable plant species.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

The purpose of this research was to ascertain how various explant types from *V. jantamansi* plants grown in vivo and in vitro responded to various PGRs for tissue culture-based regeneration.

### **2.1. Medium and culture conditions for tissue culture**

Different amounts of auxin and cytokinin were added to Murashige and Skoog's (MS, 1962) medium, which had been gelled with 8% agar. Auxins similar to cytokinin, 2, 4-D, and NAA

similar to BAP were utilized at concentrations of 5, 10, 15, and 20  $\mu\text{M}$  and autoclaved at 121  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 15 lb (pressure). Subsequently, the media were kept with a photoperiod of 12 hours and a light intensity of 2000 lux from the cool fluorescent light, the pH was adjusted to 5.8 with the help of digital pH meter. Cultures underwent continuous incubation at  $22 \pm 4$   $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , illuminated consistently for 24 hours.

## 2.2. Steps of Micropropagation:

### A. Collection of explants

For this study, we sourced natural *V. jatamansi* plants from Khirsu villages in the Pauri Garhwal district of Uttarakhand, India (30.1736184 N, 78.8714654 E, 1744 masl) (<https://earth.google.com/web/search/Khirsu,+Uttarakhand/>). In vitro plants were obtained from HAPPRC, HNBGU Srinagar Garhwal, Uttarakhand (30.2190407 N, 78.7906375 E, 656 m asl). This meticulous collection process laid the foundation for our research.

### Preparation and sterilization of explants

The method of preparation and sterilization of explants were used as per Razdan, (2000), Sterilization process was done with the following important steps

**Rinsing:** The explant was rinsed thoroughly with tap water for removing the large dust particles then the selected explants were washed with double distilled water. After that, the explants were washed with a surfactant like Tween-20 or Triton-X for the removal of contamination. **B. 2.**

**Bavistin:** 0.1% to 1% Bavistin is used as a fungicide for 30 min.

**Ethanol:** 70% ethanol is used for a short period of time of 30 sec to 1 min.

**Sodium Hypochlorite (NaClO):** NaClO, commercial bleach generally available with 4% active chlorine content. 2-5% of NaClO can be used for 5-10 minutes for sterilization as per the condition of the explant.

**Mercuric Chloride (HgCl<sub>2</sub>):** 0.1% solution of mercuric chloride can be used for 2-5 min.

### **2.3. Surface sterilization of plant material**

The following procedures were used throughout the sterilization process: Collected explant was washed thoroughly in tap water, then washed 4 to 5 times with distilled water (dw), rinsed in a few drops of Tween-20 for 10 to 15 minutes, then rewashed it 4 to 5 times with dw. The explant was then kept in 0.2% Bavistin for 30 minutes, treated with NaClO (2%) for 5 minutes, placed in a laminar airflow chamber, and rinsed several times (3 to 4 times) with autoclaved distilled water. The explant was then kept in 0.1% HgCl<sub>2</sub> for 3 minutes, and then rinsed 3 to 4 times with autoclaved distilled water. After that, it was subjected to an additional treatment with 70% alcohol for 30 seconds and following a final washing with distilled water (3–4 times)

### **2.4. Micro-propagation of *V. jatamansi***

After surface sterilization of the explants, cut the explant of size about 1-2 cm by scalpel and inoculated in MS media containing different concentrations of cytokinin and auxin for inducing callusing and shoot regeneration. To achieve micro-propagation by in vitro methods shoot of a sterilized plant is dissected with the help of a fine scalpel and forceps upon a sterilized Petri plate under laminar airflow.


### 3. Results and discussion


This study investigated the impact of various plant growth regulators (PGRs) on distinct explants from both naturally cultivated and tissue culture-based *V. jatamansi* plants. Nodes (runner nodes), petioles, and leaves of naturally grown and *in vitro* grown plants were used as explant types for the study. The effect of 2, 4-D, NAA, and BAP on different explants was evaluated. The response was documented after 30 days of inoculation.

#### 3.1. Effect of 2, 4-D

Nodes, petioles, and leaves of naturally grown and *in vitro* grown plants of *Valeriana* were inoculated in MS media supplemented with 5  $\mu\text{M}$ , 10  $\mu\text{M}$  and 15  $\mu\text{M}$  2,4-D. Saxena et al., (1997) documented the organogenesis of callus cultures made from *Psoralea corylifolia* plant's stems, leaves, and petioles. In almost all explant types callusing was induced the magnitude of which varied with the concentration of 2,4-D and the explant type (Chen *et al.*, 2014 also reported callus formation in leaf explant using 2, 4 D). Root induction also varied with the concentration of 2, 4-D and the explant type. The observations made have been illustrated in Figure 1, 2. It was observed that explants of *in vitro* origin were exclusively responsive in terms of callus development in comparison to naturally grown plants. Results obtained indicate that lower and higher concentrations of 2,4-D i.e., 5  $\mu\text{M}$  and 15  $\mu\text{M}$  2,4-D had a lower response than 10  $\mu\text{M}$  2,4-D in the case of nodes of naturally grown plants indicating the latter as the optimum concentration for callusing. Nodes from *in vitro* origin showed minimum callusing accompanying root formation in 10  $\mu\text{M}$  2, 4-D while 5, 10, and 15 $\mu\text{M}$  2,4- D induced maximum callusing without root regeneration. 10 $\mu\text{M}$  2, 4-D was found sufficient to produce callus from the petiole of naturally grown plants with the presence of rooting. 5 and 15 $\mu\text{M}$  2,4-D had also produced callus without roots in the latter but 10 $\mu\text{M}$  2,4-D remained at optimum concentration. In the case of petioles of *in vitro* grown plants 5, 10,

and 15 $\mu$ M 2,4-D were found optimum to generate callus (Fig. 5). It was noticed that root regeneration reduced when 2,4-D was increased since only 5 and 10  $\mu$ M 2,4-D induced rooting. Simultaneous rooting with callusing was observed in every leaf explant from nature as well as *in vitro* grown plants (Fig.1). Moderate callusing was observed in leaves of naturally grown plants in all concentrations of 2,4-D whereas leaves of *in vitro* grown plants showed a good callusing response. The data were illustrated in figure 1 and 2.







### 3.2. Effect of NAA

The effect of NAA on different explants types was also evaluated as like 2,4-D. 5-15  $\mu\text{M}$  concentrations of NAA were used to evaluate the response of different explants types of naturally grown and in vitro-grown plants (Fig. 3). Again, explants from in vitro-produced plants showed more capacity for differentiation than explants from plants that were in vivo. Nodes of in vitro grown plants showed good callusing in comparison to nodes of in vivo plants without root induction in all concentrations of 2, 4-D used. 10  $\mu\text{M}$  NAA induced optimum callusing in comparison to 5 and 15  $\mu\text{M}$  NAA were quite low and also no response was noted respectively in petioles of in vivo plants. Nodal explants from naturally grown plants showed moderate callusing along with good rooting in all treatments evaluated, whereas nodes of in vitro grown plants showed well. In the case of petioles of in vitro origin, 5 $\mu\text{M}$  NAA induced

maximum callusing with the presence of a low level of rooting in comparison to 10 and 15  $\mu\text{M}$  NAA. Callus regeneration from petioles of *Nardostachys jatamansi* using different concentrations of NAA was also reported by Mathur, (1992) (Mathur et al., 1991) Again in leaves of both types of plants simultaneous callusing with rooting was observed in all the treatments of NAA used. Leaves of naturally grown plants in 15 $\mu\text{M}$  NAA and of in vitro grown plants in 10 and 15 $\mu\text{M}$  NAA showed maximum callus induction in comparison to other concentrations. The data illustrated on figure 3 and 4.




**Fig. 4: Role of several concentrations of NAA on different explant types of in-vitro grown plants.**



### 3.3. Effect of BAP on callus

Callus obtained from explants of *in vitro* grown plants were transferred to media containing 5-20 µM BAP. It was observed that after 30 days of culture period only the callus obtained from nodal explants was able to regenerate shoots from it while the callus obtained from petiole and leaves showed no response. Shoot multiplication was recorded only in media containing 20µM BAP where an average of 20 shoots per callus clump were recorded (Fig. 5 F) Efficiency shoot multiplication using BAP had been developed for several medicinal plant's species such as *Ocimum basilicum* (Sahoo *et al.*, 1997), and *Hippophae rehmnoides* (Purohit *et al.*, 2009; Singh *et al.*, 2015). Only two shoots per callus clump were found in the remaining treatments that were already present in the callus clump before shifting to BAP from 2, 4-D. These results showed that only BAP has a different physiological response in callus originating from different sources.



**Fig. 5:** *In vitro* propagation *V. jatamansi* (A) *in vitro* grown plant (B) Nodal explant (C) callus induction (D, E) shooting initiation (F) Multiple shoot regeneration.

#### 4. Conclusion:

This concluded that in almost all explant types callusing was induced. The magnitude of which varied with the concentration of PGRs and the explant type. Root induction also varied with different concentrations of PGRs and the explant type. The higher callus proliferation increases the rate of multiplication of plants. These methods will help the higher propagation rate. Callus regenerated from nodes induces multiple shooting in the media containing a high concentration of BAP while explants such as petiole and leaves failed to generate shooting response in tissue culture medium. The findings of this study indicate that tissue culture of *V.*

*jatamansi* can be used to develop efficient protocols for the conservation of this important medicinal plant species. These results can be used to aid the conservation and sustainable utilization of this species.

### Reference:

1. Bhatt ID, Dauthal P, Rawat S, Gaira KS, Jugran A, Rawal RS, Dhar U. Characterization of essential oil composition, phenolic content, and antioxidant properties in wild and planted individuals of *Valeriana jatamansi* Jones. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2012; 136, 61–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2011.12.032>
2. CAMP. Conservation assessment and management prioritization for the medicinal plants of Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir and Uttarakhand. In: Proceedings of the workshop held at Shimla, Hosted by the Foundation for Revitalisation of Local Health Traditions (FRLHT), and Bangalore, India. 2003.
3. Chandra B, Palni LMS, Nandi SK. Propagation and conservation of *Picrorhiza kurroa* Royle ex Benth.: an endangered Himalayan medicinal herb commercial value. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. 2006;15, 2325–2338.
4. Ghosh S, Debnath S, Hazra S, *et al.* *Valeriana wallichii* root extracts and fractions with activity against *Leishmania* spp. *Parasitology Research*. 2011; 108, 861. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-010-2127-0>
5. Giri L, Jugran A, Dhyani P, Rawal RS, Rawat S, Andola H, Dhar U. In vitro propagation, genetic and phytochemical assessment of *Habenaria edgeworthii*: an important *Astavarga* plant. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*. 2012;34, 869–875.
6. Jugran A, Rawat S, Dauthal P, Mondal S, Bhatt ID, Rawal RS. Association of ISSR markers with some biochemical traits of *Valeriana jatamansi* Jones. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 2013; 44, 671–676. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.09.004>

7. Jugran AK, Bhatt ID, Rawal RS, Nandi SK, Pande V. Patterns of morphological and genetic diversity of *Valeriana jatamansi* Jones in different habitats and altitudinal range of West Himalaya, India. *Flora - Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*. 2013; 208, 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2012.12.003>
8. Maurya AK, Meena RL, Kumar A, Prasad R, Gopichand. The current status of *Valeriana jatamansi*: an endangered species. *Global Journal of Research on Medicinal Plants & Indigenous Medicine*. 2017; 6(7), 95–102.
9. Mishra LC. *Scientific Basis for Ayurvedic Therapies*. CRC Press, New York, USA. 2004.
10. Murashige T, Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bio-assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum*. 1962; 15, 437–497.
11. Nadeem M, Palni LMS, Purohit AN, Pandey H, Nandi SK. Propagation and conservation of *Podophyllum hexandrum* Royle: an important medicinal herb. *Biological Conservation*. 2000; 92, 121–129.
12. Nandi SK, Palni LMS, Kumar A. *Role of Plant Tissue Culture in Biodiversity Conservation and Economic Development*; Himavikas Occasional Publication No-15. Gyanodaya Prakashan, Nainital. 2002.
13. Pandey H, Nandi SK, Kumar A, Palni UT, Chandra B, Palni LMS. In Vitro propagation of *Aconitum balfourii* Stapf; an important aconite of the Himalayan alpine. *Journal of Horticulture Science & Biotechnology*. 2004;79, 34–41.
14. Polunin O, Stainton A. *Concise Flowers of the Himalaya*. London: Oxford University Press. 1987.
15. Prakash V. *Indian Valerianaceae: A monograph on medicinally important family*. 1999; (p. 70). Jodhpur, India: Scientific Publishers.
16. Purohit VK, Phondani P, Maikhuri R, Bag N, Prasad P, Nautiyal A, Palni L. In vitro propagation of *Hippophae rhamnoides* L. From hypocotyle explants. *National Academy Science Letters*. 2009; 32, 163-168.

17. Puroohit VK, Phondani PC, Maikhuri RK, Bag N, Prasad P, Nautiyal AR, Palni LMS. In vitro propagation of *H. rhamnoides* L. from hypocotyle explants. *Journal of National Academy of Science Letters*. 2009; 32(4), 163-168.
18. Rana JC, Sharma BD, Jha BJ, Kumar M. Cultivation of *Hedychium spicatum* (Kapoor Kachari) *Valeriana wallichii* and *Roscoea purpurea* in hill region of India. *Indian Forester*. 2004;130, 1008–1018.
19. Razdan MK. *Introduction to Plant Tissue Culture*. Second Edition. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, India. 2002.
20. Samant SS, Dhar U, Palni LMS. *Medicinal Plants of Indian Himalaya: Diversity, Distribution, Potential Values*. Himavikas Publication. No.13. Gyanodaya Prakashan, Nainital. 1998.
21. Singh N, Gupta AP, Singh B, Kaul VK. Quantification of valeric acid in *Valeriana jatamansi* and *Valeriana officinalis* by HPTLC. *Chromatographia*. 2006; 63, 209–213.
22. Singh S, Purohit VK, Prasad P, Nautiyal AR. Micropropagation of *Valeriana wallichii* DC (Indian valeriana) through nodal explant. *Indian Journal of Biotechnology*. 2015; 14.
23. Violon C, Van CN, Vercruyse A. Valepotriate content in different in vitro cultures of *Valerianaceae*. *Pharmacy World and Science*. 1983; 5, 205–209.
24. Sahoo Y, Pattnaik S, Chand PK. In vitro clonal propagation of an aromatic medicinal herb *Ocimum basilicum* L. (sweet basil) by axillary shoot proliferation. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology – Plant*. 1997; 33, 293-296.