Original Research Article

CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT STUDIES FOR GRAIN YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN RICE (*Oryzasativa* L.)

ABSTRACT

The current research was conducted with an objective to study the correlation between different traits and path coefficient analysis in rice. Four CMS lines were crossed with eight elite restorers in a Line ×Tester design and the resultant 32 hybrids were evaluated along with the parents and two checks in a randomized block design with three replications during the *rabi*season of 2022. The data was collected on 13 quantitative characters. The results revealed that there is a significant variability in the material studied. The character association studies indicated that the trait, grain yield per plant had significant positive genotypic correlation with panicle length, number of productive tillers per plant, number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight,50% flowering, plant height, kernel length, kernel breadth and head rice recovery. The path analysis studies revealed that panicle length was the major contributor for grain yield per plant followed byplant height, number of grains per panicle, days to 50% flowering, 1000-grain weight, kernel length, number of productive tillers per plant, head rice recovery and kernel breadth. These characters showed direct positive effects for grain yield per plant. The above characters can be used directly as the selection criteria in rice yield improvement programmes.

INTRODUCTION

Rice is a staple food crop of India and occupies pivotal place in Indian agriculture. It feeds two thirds of world`s population, providing 43 per-cent (%) of calorie requirement and 20-25% of agricultural income. The crop occupies more than 23% of gross cropped area in India i.e., about 46.38 million hectares (Mha) which is the largest in the world among all the rice growing countries. Annual production of rice in the country is around 130.29 million tonnes (Mt) (https://agricoop.nic.in/)(1).

To meet the demands of a growing population while maintaining self-sufficiency, production must rise to 140 Mt by 2030. This is a difficult endeavour, given the plateauing

tendency in high yielding cultivars' yield potential and the diminishing natural resource base. Hybrid rice is one of the most practical and easily adoptable genetic choices for increasing rice output, as the People's Republic of China has proved. As a result, rice breeding projects have turned to hybrid rice development, having been convinced of the potential of hybrid rice technology in increasing production and productivity. So far, 133ricehybrids have been released for commercial production in India.

Correlation is a measure of the mutual relationship between two variables. Correlation analysis can help plant breeders understand how improving one characteristic leads to synchronous improvements in others. The relationship between yield and its component features is better understood through studies of the relationship between yield and its components. Phenotypic correlation indicates the degree to which the two factors are linked and is influenced by genetic and environmental connections. Meanwhile, genotypic correlation plays a crucial role in designing effective breeding strategies. Studies on the association between yield-related traits consistently showed a positive relationship between grain yield(Sarwar*et al*., 2015 (2); Devi *et al*., 2017 (3); Gyawali*et al*., 2018 (4)). However, in general, genetic correlations tended to be stronger than phenotypic values (Nogueira*et al*., 2012 (5)). The correlation coefficient-derived character association is viewed as a significant biometric method for creating a selection index. This is because it reveals how strongly traits within a group are interconnected (Adams and Grafius, 1971 (6)). Understanding the link between a trait, yield, and other yield-related factors would assist in choosing appropriate rice genotypes as parent plants in breeding projects.

Path coefficient analysis aids in breaking down correlation coefficients into direct effects and indirect effects, which are influenced by other variables (Wright, 1921 (7); Ratna*et al*., 2016 (8)). Essentially, it's a standardized method of studying how different yield components are interconnected, considering a self-contained system of appropriate weighting. As per Hasan*et al*. (2011) (9), the approach to breeding rice relies on the degree of association and correlation between traits, as well as the kind of differences present. Combining a correlation examination with path analysis proves to be a more potent method for investigating traits contributing to yield. Having a deeper understanding of traits that are strongly linked to yield is consistently important. This is because these traits can serve as direct criteria for selection or as benchmarks to enhance the performance of new plant populations (Kumar *et al*., 2018 (10)). The current research was conducted to investigate the genetic factors that establish the connection between rice yield and other characteristics.

Material and Methods

The research was conducted at the Regional Agricultural Research Station located in Polasa, Jagtial, Telangana state, India. This station is positioned at an elevation of 243.4 meters above the average sea level, situated at 18°49'40" N latitude and 78°56'45" E longitude within the Northern Zone of Telangana State. The experimentwas conducted during the *rabi*2022, with the goal of examining the relationship between traits and their direct and indirect impacts on grain yield.

The experimental material consisted of a total of 46 different genotypes. This group encompassed four CMS lines*viz*., CMS 14A, CMS 23A, CMS 64A, CMS 69A, and, as well as eight testers designated as IR 63870-7-3-2-3-3R, IR 63877-43-2-1-3-1R, IR 65483-14-1-1-4-13R, IR 65514-5-2-19R-1, JGL 21005, JGL 24444, JGL 27347 and JGL 29651 and their thirty-two hybrids generated through the Line × Tester mating design introduced by Kempthorne, 1957 (11). Two standard reference varieties, KPH 4 and KPH 468, were included for comparison. To arrange these genotypes, a randomized block design (RBD) was employed with three replications and a spacing of 20 × 15 cm. Seedlings that were 28 days old were transplanted to the main field, and all the required agricultural practices were applied to cultivate a healthy crop. Data collection involved noting down information related to grain yield, characteristics contributing to yield, and quality traits. This data was gathered from five arbitrarily chosen healthy plants for every entry within each replication, focusing on 13 distinct attributes *viz*., days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), panicle length (cm), number of productive tillers per plant, number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight (g), grain yield plant per plant (g), hulling percentage, milling percentage, head rice recovery (%), kernel length (mm), kernel breadth (mm), kernel L/B ratio.The character, days to 50% flowering was recorded on plot basis.The procedures for analysis were carried out following the guidelines presented by Singh and Chaudhary (1985) (12) for correlation coefficient analysis, and Dewey and Lu (1959) (13) for path analysis.

Results and Discussion

Analysis of variance showed that the experimental material had sufficient variation. Grain yield per plantrecorded significant positive correlation at genotypic level with days to50% flowering (0.2627**), plant height (0.4319**), panicle length (0.4698**), number of productive tillers perplant (0.2110*), number of grains per panicle (0.2804**), 1000-grain weight (0.2588**),headricerecovery(0.2107*), kernel

length(0.2214**)andkernelbreadth(0.1468*).Whereasithadpositivenon-significantcorrelation with L/Bratio(0.0421), hulling% (0.0095), milling% (0.0079) (Table 1).

Panicle length had shown positive and significant correlation with number of grains per panicle (0.2021*), kernel length (0.1947*), L/B ratio (0.4685**) and head rice recovery (0.2553**) and negative significant association with kernel breadth (-0.3165**), whereas it showed significant and positive correlation with grain yield per plant (0.4698**).Direct selection of genotypes having long panicles favours improvement in grain yield per plant.

Number of productive tillers per plant is positively and significantly correlated with milling percentage (-0.3681**) also with grain yield per plant (0.2110*).Hence the selection based on number of productive tillers per plant is suitable as it brings simultaneous improvement in grain yield per plant.

Number of grains per panicle is an important yield contributing factor especially in hybrids, as they are characterized by larger sink size. This character exhibited positive and significant association with milling percentage (0.2748**), head rice recovery (0.4655**) and significant negative correlation with 1000 grain weight (-0.4931**), kernel length (-0.1933*), kernel breadth (-0.2754**). ~~while~~ While it had positive and significant correlation with grain yield per plant (0.2804**).

1000-grain weightregistered positive and significant association with kernel length (0.5382**) kernel breadth (0.6791**) and negative correlation association with head rice recovery (-0.4713**), L/B ratio (-0.1924*),hulling% (-0.2590**), milling% (-0.1773*) whereas it had positive significant association with grain yield per plant (0.2588**).These results are in line with the earlier reports of Babu*et al.* (2012) (14), Nikhil *et al.* (2014) (15), Lakshmi *et al.* (2014) (16), Sarwar*et al*. (2015) (2), Abdala*et al.* (2016) (17), Devi *et al.* (2017) (3),Prakash*et al.* (2018) (18), Kiranmayee*et al.* (2018) (19), Thorat*et al.* (2019) (20) and Nanda *et al.* (2019) (21) Islam*etal.* (2019) (22) and Singh *et al* (2023) (24).

Days to 50 per cent flowering showed positive significant association with plant height (0.5209**), panicle length (0.5860**), number of grains per panicle (0.1012*), L/B ratio (0.3676**), hulling% (0.2616**), milling% (0.1758*) and head rice recovery (0.3989**) and negative significant association with number of productive tillers (-0.3341**), 1000 grain weight (-0.3288**), kernel breadth (-0.3488**), whereas it showed

significant positive correlation with grain yield per plant (0.2627**).Hence, these findings reveal that late flowering types may have more chance of contribution towards grains yield.

Plant heightexhibited positive and significant correlation with panicle length (0.6412**), number of grains per panicle (0.3544**), L/B ratio (0.1865*), head rice recovery (0.2894**) and negative significant association with 1000 grain weight (-0.1750*) and kernel breadth (-0.1905*). Plant height recorded positive and significant association with grain yield per plant (0.4319**). This revealed that plant height is an important trait for realizing more yield and tallness is associated with problem of lodging. Plants having sturdy and medium height culms should be preferred.Babu*et al.* (2012) (14),Devi *et al.* (2017) (3), Priya*et al*. (2017) (23) and Osman *et al*. (2019) (25) also reported similar findings fordays to 50 per cent flowering and plant height. Selection based on the plant height simultaneously improves grain yield per plant.

Kernel lengthexhibited positive and significant correlation with milling percentage (0.2753**), kernel breadth (0.4081**) and kernel L/B ratio (0.4521**) and no negative significant association, while it had recorded positive and significant correlation with grain yield per plant (0.2214**).Kernel breadthexhibited significant negatively correlated with L/B ratio (-0.6253**) and head rice recovery (-0.5846**) while it had recorded positive and significant correlation with grain yield per plant (0.1468*).With respect to L/B ratio, it exhibits positive and significant correlation with milling percentage (0.2034*) and head rice recovery (0.5279**). Khatun*et al*. (2003) (26), Lakshmi *et al.* (2014) (16), Islam *et al.* (2019) (22) and Kiran*et al*. (2023) (27) also reported similar results.

Higher hulling percentage is more desirable in rice to realize proportion of economic product with less wastage. This character showed positive and significant association with milling percentage (0.4800**). Millingexhibited positive and significant correlation with head rice recovery (0.3371**). Head rice recovery exhibited positive and significant correlation with grain yield per plant (0.2107*). These results are in accordance with the findings of Kumar *et al.* (2010) (28),Abdala*et al.* (2016) (17), Premkumar*et al.* (2016) (29), Ratna*et al.* (2016) (8), Edukondalu*et al.* (2017) (30) Devi *et al.* (2017) (3) and Naik*et al.* (2021) (31).

SOURCE		DFF	PH	PL	NPT	NGP	1000 GW	KL	KB	L/B	HP	MP	HRR	GYP
DFF	**G**	**1.0000**	0.5209**	0.5860**	-0.334199	0.1012*	-0.3288**	0.0188	-0.3488**	0.3676**	0.2616**	0.1758*	0.3989**	0.2627**
	P	**1.0000**	0.5025**	0.5102**	-0.3067**	0.1024	-0.3198**	0.0173	-0.3313**	0.3322**	0.2247**	0.1589	0.3885**	0.2444**
PH	**G**		**1.0000**	0.6412**	-0.1413	0.3544**	-0.1750*	0.0109	-0.1905*	0.1865*	0.1209	0.0850	0.2894**	0.4319**
	P		**1.0000**	0.5621**	-0.1244	0.3447**	-0.1636	-0.0015	-0.1797*	0.1614	0.1058	0.0785	0.2765**	0.3918**
PL	**G**			**1.0000**	0.0801	0.2021*	-0.0600	0.1947*	-0.3165**	0.4685**	0.0328	0.0115	0.2553**	0.4698**
	P			**1.0000**	0.0068	0.2101*	-0.0421	0.1514	-0.2359**	0.3344**	0.0316	0.0156	0.2116*	0.4143**
NPT	**G**				**1.0000**	-0.0082	0.0653	-0.0904	0.0040	-0.1167	-0.0997	-0.3681**	-0.1420	0.2110*
	P				**1.0000**	-0.0182	0.0593	-0.0856	-0.0053	-0.0944	-0.1100	-0.3337**	-0.1211	0.1811*
NGP	**G**					**1.0000**	-0.4931**	-0.1933*	-0.2754**	0.1130	0.1316	0.2748**	0.4655**	0.2804**
	P					**1.0000**	-0.4671**	0.1790*	-0.2627**	0.1070	0.1146	0.2495**	0.4374**	0.2768**
1000 GW	**G**						**1.0000**	0.5382**	0.6791**	-0.1924*	-0.2590**	-0.1773*	-0.4713**	0.2588**
	P						**1.0000**	0.4927**	0.6225**	-0.1708*	-0.2169*	-0.1556	-0.4531**	0.2296**
KL	**G**							**1.0000**	0.4081**	0.4521**	0.0219	0.2753**	-0.0476	0.2214**
	P							**1.0000**	0.3579**	0.4774**	0.0378	0.2572**	-0.0427	0.2260**
KB	**G**								**1.0000**	-0.6253**	-0.0701	0.0351	-0.5846**	0.1468*
	P								**1.0000**	-0.6433**	-0.0691	0.0228	-0.5355**	0.1436*
L/B	**G**									**1.0000**	0.1082	0.2034*	0.5279**	0.0421
	P									**1.0000**	0.1122	0.1898**	0.4604**	0.0459
HP	**G**										**1.0000**	0.4800**	0.1329	0.0095
	P										**1.0000**	0.5624**	0.1296	0.0000
MP	**G**											**1.0000**	0.3371**	0.0079
	P											**1.0000**	0.3188**	0.0018
HRR	**G**												**1.0000**	0.2107*
	P												**1.0000**	0.1960*

Table 1: Phenotypic (P) and Genotypic (G) correlation coefficients of yield and quality traits in rice

*** Significant at 5 per cent level ** Significant at 1 per cent level**

DFF: Days to 50 % flowering, PH: Plant height (cm), PL: Panicle length (cm), NPT: Number of productive tillers per plant, NGP : Number of grains per panicle, 1000 GW: 1000 grain weight, KL: Kernel length (mm), KB: Kernel breadth (mm), L/B: Length/Breadth Ratio, HP: Hulling percentage, MP: Milling percentage, HRR: Head rice recovery (%), GYP: Grain yield per plant (g)

The outcomes of the path coefficient analysis for yield and its component traits (Table 2).Panicle length expressed a direct positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.1388) while its correlation was significant and positive with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through days to 50% flowering (0.0813), plant height (0.0890), number of productive tillers per plant (0.0111), number of grains per panicle (0.0360), kernel length (0.0270), L/B ratio (0.0650), hulling percentage (0.0046), milling percentage (0.0016) and head rice recovery (0.0354) and negative indirect contribution through kernel breadth (-0.0439) and 1000-grain weight (-0.0083)

Comment [DBS1]: Sentence is not complete

Number of productive tillers per plant expressed a direct positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.2667) while its correlation was significant and positive with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through and negative indirect contribution through panicle length (0.0214), 1000 grain weight (0.0174) and kernel breadth (0.0011) and negative indirect contribution through days to 50% flowering (-0.0891), plant height (-0.0377) number of grains per panicle (-0.0022), kernel length (-0.0241), L/B ratio (-0.0311), hulling percentage (-0.0266), milling percentage (-0.0982) and head rice recovery (-0.0379).

Number of grains per panicle expressed a direct positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.4337) while its correlation was positively significant with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through days to 50% flowering (0.0439), plant height (0.1537), panicle length (0.0877), L/B ratio (0.0490), hulling percentage (0.0571), milling percentage (0.1192) and head rice recovery (0.2019) and negative indirect contribution through number of productive tillers per plant (-0.0036), 1000-grain weight (-0.2139), kernel length (-0.0838), and kernel breadth (-0.1194).

1000 grain weight expressed a direct positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.6704) while its correlation was positively significant with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through, number of productive tillers per plant (0.0438), kernel length (0.3608), and kernel breadth (0.4552) and negative indirect contribution through days to 50 per cent flowering (-0.2204), plant height (-0.1173), panicle length (-0.0402), number of grains per panicle (-0.3306), L/B ratio (-0.1290), hulling percentage (-0.1736), milling percentage (-0.1189) and head rice recovery (-0.3159).Nikhil *et al.* (2014) (15), Lakshmi *et al.* (2014) (16), Kishore *et al.* (2015) (32), Sarwar*et al.*

(2015)(2),Prakash*et al.* (2018) (18), Hemalatha*et al.* (2018) (33), Kiranmayee*et al.* (2018) (19), Arulmozhi*et al.* (2019) (34)and Singh *et al* (2023) (24)also reported similar results.

Days to 50 percent floweringrecorded direct and positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.3662) and it is significant and positively correlated with grain yield per plant. It had positive indirect contribution through plant height (0.1908), panicle length (0.2146), number of grains per panicle (0.0371), kernel length (0.0069), L/B ratio (0.1346), hulling percentage (0.0958), milling percentage (0.0644) and head rice recovery (0.1461). Negative indirect contribution through, number of productive tillers per plant (-0.1223), 1000-grain weight (-0.1204) and kernel breadth (-0.1277).

Comment [DBS2]: Sentence not clear

Plant height expressed a direct positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.1016) and it is significant and positively correlated with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through days to 50% flowering (0.0529), panicle length (0.0651), number of grains per panicle (0.0360), kernel length (0.0011), L/B ratio (0.0189), hulling percentage (0.0123), milling percentage (0.0086) and head rice recovery (0.0294), and negative indirect contribution through, number of productive tillers per plant (-0.0143), 1000-grain weight (-0.0178), and kernel breadth (-0.0194).The results are in accordance with the findings of Babu*et al.* (2012) (14), Kishore *et al.* (2015) (32), Sarwar*et al.* (2015) (2),Kiranmayee*et al.* (2018) (19) and Arulmozhi*et al.* (2019) (34).

Kernel length expressed a direct positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.7960) while its correlation was significant and positive with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through days to 50% flowering (0.0150), plant height (0.0087), panicle length (0.1550), 1000-grain weight (0.4284), kernel breadth (0.3248), L/B ratio (0.3598) hulling percentage (0.0174) and milling percentage (0.2191) and negative indirect contribution through number of productive tillers per plant (-0.0720), number of grains per panicle (-0.1539) and head rice recovery (-0.0379).

Kernel breadth expressed a direct negative genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (-0.8223) while its correlation was significant and positive with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through days to 50% flowering (0.2868), plant height (0.1567), panicle length (0.2602), number of grains per panicle (0.2264), kernel L/B ratio (0.5142), hulling percentage (0.0576) and head rice recovery (0.4807) and negative indirect contribution through number of productive tillers per plant (-0.0033), 1000-grain weight (-0.5584), kernel length (-0.3355) and milling percentage (-0.0289).

L/B ratio expressed a direct negative genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (-1.0909) while its correlation was non-significant and positive with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through number of productive tillers per plant (0.1273), 1000-grain weight (0.2099) and kernel breadth (0.6821) and negative indirect contribution through 50% flowering (-0.4010), plant height (-0.2034), panicle length (-0.5111), number of grains per panicle (-0.1233), kernel length (-0.4932), hulling percentage (-0.1181), milling percentage (-0.2219) and head rice recovery (-0.5759).These results are similar with the earlier findings of Lakshmi *et al.* (2014) (16), Dhurai*et al.* (2016) (35), Meena*et al.* (2016) (36), Devi *et al.* (2017) (3) and Priya*et al.* (2017)(23), Hemalatha*et al.* (2018) (33) and Kiran*et al*. (2023) (27).

Hulling percentage expressed a direct positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.0800) while its correlation was non-significant and positive with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through days to 50% flowering (0.0209), plant height (0.0097), panicle length (0.0026), number of productive tillers per plant (0.1273), number of grains per panicle (0.0105), kernel length (0.0018), L/B ratio (0.0087) milling percentage (0.0384) and head rice recovery (0.0106) and negative indirect contribution through 1000-grain weight (-0.0207) and kernel breadth (-0.0056).

Milling percentage expressed a direct negative genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (-0.0767) while its correlation was non-significant and positive with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through days to number of productive tillers per plant (0.0282) and 1000-grain weight (0.0136) and negative indirect contribution through 50% flowering (-0.0135), plant height (-0.0065), panicle length (-0.0009), number of grains per panicle (-0.0211), kernel length (-0.0211), kernel breadth (-0.0027), L/B ratio (-0.0156) hulling percentage (-0.0368) and head rice recovery (-0.0259).

Head rice recovery expressed a direct positive genotypic effect on grain yield per plant (0.3000) while its correlation was significant and positive with grain yield per plant. It expressed positive indirect contribution through days to 50% flowering (0.1197) plant height (0.0868), panicle length (0.0766), number of grains per panicle (0.1397), L/B ratio (0.1584), hulling percentage (0.0399) and milling percentage (0.1011) and negative indirect contribution through number of productive tillers per plant (-0.0426), 1000 grain weight (-0.1414), kernel length (-0.0143) and kernel breadth (-0.1754). These results are in agreement with the findings of Premkumar*et al.* (2016) (29), Devi *et al.* (2017) (3), Hemalatha*et al.* (2018) (33) and Naik*et al.* (2021) (31).

SOURCE		DFF	PH	PL	NPT	NGP	1000 GW	KL	KB	L/B	HP	MP	HRR	GYP
DFF	**G**	**0.3662**	0.1908	0.2146	-0.1223	0.0371	-0.1204	0.0069	-0.1277	0.1346	0.0958	0.0644	0.1461	0.2627**
	P	**0.2936**	0.1475	0.1498	-0.0901	0.0301	-0.0939	0.0051	-0.0973	0.0975	0.0660	0.0467	0.1141	0.2444**
PH	**G**	0.0529	**0.1016**	0.0651	-0.0143	0.0360	-0.0178	0.0011	-0.0194	0.0189	0.0123	0.0086	0.0294	0.4319**
	P	0.0614	**0.1221**	0.0687	-0.0152	0.0421	-0.0200	-0.0002	-0.0219	0.0197	0.0129	0.0096	0.0338	0.3918**
PL	**G**	0.0813	0.0890	**0.1388**	0.0111	0.0360	-0.0083	0.0270	-0.0439	0.0650	0.0046	0.0016	0.0354	0.4698**
	P	0.0683	0.0753	**0.1339**	0.0009	0.0281	-0.0056	0.0203	-0.0316	0.0448	0.0042	0.0021	0.0283	0.4143**
NPT	**G**	-0.0891	-0.0377	0.0214	**0.2667**	-0.0022	0.0174	-0.0241	0.0011	-0.0311	-0.0266	-0.0982	-0.0379	0.2110*
	P	-0.0791	-0.0321	0.0018	**0.2578**	-0.0047	0.0153	-0.0221	-0.0014	-0.0243	-0.0284	-0.0860	-0.0312	0.1811*
NGP	**G**	0.0439	0.1537	0.0877	-0.0036	**0.4337**	-0.2139	-0.0838	-0.1194	0.0490	0.0571	0.1192	0.2019	0.2804**
	P	0.0385	0.1298	0.0018	-0.0068	**0.3766**	-0.1759	-0.0674	-0.0989	0.0403	0.0431	0.0940	0.1647	0.2768**
1000 GW	**G**	-0.2204	-0.1173	-0.0402	0.0438	-0.3306	**0.6704**	0.3608	0.4552	-0.1290	-0.1736	-0.1189	-0.3159	0.2588**
	P	-0.1488	-0.0761	-0.0196	0.0276	-0.2174	**0.4654**	0.2293	0.2897	-0.0795	-0.1009	-0.0724	-0.2108	0.2296**
KL	**G**	0.0150	0.0087	0.1550	-0.0720	-0.1539	0.4284	**0.7960**	0.3248	0.3598	0.0174	0.2191	-0.0379	0.2214**
	P	0.0043	-0.0004	0.0375	-0.0212	-0.0443	0.1219	**0.2475**	0.0886	0.1182	0.0093	0.0636	-0.0106	0.2260**
KB	**G**	0.2868	0.1567	0.2602	-0.0033	0.2264	-0.5584	-0.3355	**-0.8223**	0.5142	0.0576	-0.0289	0.4807	0.1468*
	P	0.0092	0.0050	0.0065	0.0001	0.0073	-0.0173	-0.1158	**-0.0277**	0.0178	0.0019	-0.0006	0.0148	0.1436*
L/B	**G**	-0.4010	-0.2034	-0.5111	0.1273	-0.1233	0.2099	-0.4932	0.6821v	**-1.0909**	-0.1181	-0.2219	-0.5759	0.0421
	P	-0.0967	-0.0470	-0.0973	0.0275	-0.0311	0.0497	-0.1158	0.1872	**-0.2911**	-0.0327	-0.0552	-0.1340	0.0459
HP	**G**	0.0209	0.0097	0.0026	0.1273	0.0105	-0.0207	0.0018	-0.0056	0.0087	**0.0800**	0.0384	0.0106	0.0095
	P	0.0122	0.0057	0.0017	-0.0060	0.0062	-0.0117	0.0020	-0.0037	0.0061	**0.0541**	0.0304	0.0070	0.0000
MP	**G**	-0.0135	-0.0065	-0.0009	0.0282	-0.0211	0.0136	-0.0211	-0.0027	-0.0156	-0.0368	**-0.0767**	-0.0259	0.0079
	P	-0.0178	-0.0088	-0.0017	0.0373	-0.0279	0.0174	-0.0287	-0.0025	-0.0212	-0.0628	**-0.1117**	-0.0356	0.0018
HRR	**G**	0.1197	0.0868	0.0766	-0.0426	0.1397	-0.1414	-0.0143	-0.1754	0.1584	0.0399	0.1011	**0.3000**	0.2107*
	P	0.0993	0.0706	0.0541	-0.0309	0.1117	-0.1158	-0.0109	-0.1368	0.1176	0.0331	0.0815	**0.2555**	0.1960*
	Genotypic Residual effect = 0.6085					**Phenotypic Residual effect = 0.6919**							**Bold values are direct effects**	

Table 2: Phenotypic (P) and Genotypic (G) path coefficients of grain yield and quality traits in rice (Oryzasativa L.)

DFF: Days to 50 % flowering, PH: Plant height (cm), PL: Panicle length (cm), NPT: Number of productive tillers per plant, NGP: Number of grains per panicle, 1000 GW: 1000 grain weight, KL: Kernel length (mm), KB: Kernel breadth (mm), L/B: Length/Breadth Ratio, HP: Hulling percentage, MP: Milling percentage, HRR: Head rice recovery (%), GYP: Grain yield per plant (g).

In plant breeding, it is very difficult to have whole understanding of all component traits related to grain yield. This residual effect permits accurate explanation about the pattern of interaction of other possible components of grain yield which was not included in the study. The residual effect was 0.6085 for genotypic and 0.6919 for phenotypic path coefficient. This denotes that contribution of component traits that are studied on yield per hectare was 39.15% at genotypic level and 30.81% at phenotypic level, the rest 60.85 at genotypic level and 69.19 at phenotypic level was the contribution of other characters which were not included in the study on dependent variable

The characters days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, number of productive tillers per plant, number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weight, kernel length, kernel breadth and head rice recovery showed significant positive genotypic correlation and would result in improvement of yield. The path analysis studies revealed that panicle length was the major contributor for grain yield per plant followed by plant height, number of grains per panicle, days to 50% flowering, 1000-grain weight, kernel length, number of productive tillers per plant, head rice recovery and kernel breadth. The above characters can be used directly as the selection criteria in rice yield improvement programmes.

REFERENCES

1. https://agricoop.nic.in/
2. Sarwar, G., Harun-Ur-Rashid, M., Parveen, S. and Hossain, M.S., 2015. Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis for Agro-morphological important traits in Aman rice Genotypes (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Advances in Bioresearch*, *6*(4), pp.40–47.
3. Devi, K.R., Chandra, B.S., Lingaiah, N., Hari, Y. and Venkanna, V., 2017. Analysis of variability, correlation and path coefficient studies for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest*, *37*(1), pp.1-9.
4. Gyawali, S., Poudel, A. and Poudel, S., 2018. Genetic variability and association analysis in different rice genotypes in mid hill of western Nepal. *Acta Scientific Agriculture*, *2*(9), pp.69-76.
5. Nogueira, A.P.O., Sediyama, T., de Sousa, L.B., Hamawaki, O.T., Cruz, C.D., Pereira, D.G. and Matsuo, E., 2012. Path analysis and correlations among traits in soybean grown in two dates of sowing. *Bioscience Journal*, *28*(6), pp.877-888.
6. Adams, M.W. and Grafius, J.E., 1971. Yield Component Compensation—Alternative Interpretations. *Crop Science*, *11*(1), pp.33-35.
7. Wright, S., 1921. Correlation and causation, Journal of Agricultural Research. 20: 557-585. 192
8. Ratna, M., Begum, S., Abu Kawochar, M., Ahmed, S. and Ferdous, J., 2016. Estimation of Grain Quality Components and their Correlation of Basmati Rice (Oryzasativa L.). *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science*, *39*(3), pp.381-391

9. Hasan, M.J., Kulsum, M.U., Akter, A., Masuduzzaman, A.S.M. and Ramesha, M.S., 2011. Genetic variability and character association for agronomic traits in hybrid rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics*, *24*(1), pp.45-51.
10. Kumar, S., Chauhan, M.P., Tomar, A., Kasana, R.K. and Kumar, N., 2018. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, *7*(6), pp.20-26.
11. Kempthorne, O., 1957. An introduction to genetic statistics, John Wiley and Sons Inc: New York.
12. Singh, R.K. and Chaudhary, B.D., 1985. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Pub. Ludhiana. *New Delhi, Revised Ed.*, *318*.
13. Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K., 1959. A correlation and path- coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production 1. *Agronomy Journal*, *51*(9), pp.515-518.
14. Babu, V.R., Shreya, K., Dangi, K.S., Usharani, G. and Shankar, A.S., 2012. Correlation and path analysis studies in popular rice hybrids of India. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, *2*(3), pp.1-5.
15. Nikhil, B.S.K., Rangare, N.R. and Saidaiah, P., 2014. Correlation and path analysis in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, *32*(1/2), pp.1-5.
16. Lakshmi, M.V., Suneetha, Y., Yugandhar, G. and Lakshmi, N.V., 2014. Correlation studies in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *International Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, *5*(2), pp.121-126.
17. Abdala, A.J., Bokosi, J.M., Mwangwela, A.M. and Mzengeza, T.R., 2016. Correlation and path co-efficient analysis for grain quality traits in F1 generation of rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*, *8*(7), pp.109-116.
18. Prakash, H.P., Verma, O.P., Chaudhary, A.K. and Amir, M., 2018. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.) for sodicity tolerance. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, *7*(07), pp.177-187.
19. Kiranmayee, B., Raju, C.D., Raju, K.K. and Balaram, M., 2018. A study on correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in maintainer (B lines) lines of hybrid rice (*Oryzasativa* L.).*International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(6): 2918-2929.
20. Thorat, B.S., Kunkerkar, R.L., Raut, S.M., Desai, S.S., Gavai, M.P., Keluskar, M.H. and Dhekale, J.S., 2019. Correlation studies in hybrid rice (*Oryzasativa*L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, *8*(4), pp.1158-1164.
21. Nanda, K., Bastia, D.N. and Nanda, A., 2019. Character association and path coefficient analysis for yield and its component traits in slender grain rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, *10*(3), pp.963-969.
22. Islam, M.Z., Mian, M.A.K., Ivy, N.A., Akter, N. and Rahman, M.M., 2019. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and its component traits in restorer lines of rice. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, *44*(2), pp.291-301.
23. Priya, C.S., Suneetha, Y., Babu, D.R. and Rao, S.V., 2017. Inter-relationship and path analysis for yield and quality characters in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*, *6*(1), pp.381-390.

24. Singh, V.K., Wahi, N., Mishra, S.K., Singh, B.D. and Singh, N.K., 2022. Studies on Genetic variability, correlation analysis, character association and path analysis of phenotypic characteristics of twelve mega varieties of rice and its near-isogenic lines carrying high grain number per panicle QTL qGN4. 1. *Current Trends in Biotechnology and Pharmacy*, *16*(1), pp.35-45.
25. Osman, M., Zidan, A.A. and Nada, A.M., 2019. Path coefficient analysis and correlation for some yield and its attributes in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Journal of Plant Production*, *10*(7), pp.539-542.
26. Khatun, M.M., Ali, M.H. and Dela Cruz, Q.D., 2003. Correlation studies on grain physicochemical characteristics of aromatic rice. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, *6*(5), pp.511-513.
27. Kiran, A.K., Sharma, D.J., Subbarao, L.V., Gireesh, C. and Agrawal, A.P., 2023. Correlation coefficient and path coefficient analysis for yield, yield attributing traits and nutritional traits in rice genotypes.*The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(2), pp.1978-1983.
28. Kumar, A.P., Sarawgi, A.K., Verulkar, S.B. and Verma, R., 2010. Correlation coefficient and path analysis study among grain quality components in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, *1*(6), pp.1468-1473.
29. Premkumar, R., Gnanamalar, R.P. and Anandakumar, C.R., 2016. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of grain quality traits in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, *50*(1), pp.27-32.
30. Edukondalu, B., Reddy, V.R., Rani, T.S., Kumari, C.A. and Soundharya, B., 2017. Studies on variability, heritability, correlation and path analysis for yield, yield attributes in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *International journal of current microbiology and applied sciences*, *6*(10), pp.2369-2376.
31. Naik, M.V.K., Pillai, M.A. and Saravanan, S., 2021. Assessment of correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield attributing and quality traits in promising rice varieties cultivated in Tamil Nadu. *TheJournal of Phytopharmacology*, *10*(2), pp.139-143.
32. Kishore, N.S., Srinivas, T., Nagabhushanam, U., Pallavi, M. and Sameera, S.K., 2015. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in promising rice (*Oryzasativa* L.) genotypes. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, *13*(1), pp.99-108.
33. Hemalatha, M., 2018. Cause and effect analysis for yield and grain quality traits in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, *9*(3), pp.1226-1233.
34. Arulmozhi, R. and Muthuswamy, A., 2019. Path coefficient analysis studies in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.) for quantitative and qualitative traits. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, *10*(4), pp.1576-1580.
35. Dhurai, S.Y., Reddy, D.M. and Ravi, S., 2016. Correlation and path analysis for yield and quality characters in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.). *Rice Genomics and Genetics*, *7*(4): 1-6.
36. Meena, A.K., Suresh, J., RajuSurendar, C.H. and Meena, H.P., 2016. Correlation and path analysis studies in rice (*Oryzasativa* L.) genotypes of India. *Green Farming*, *7*(4), pp.770-773.

Comment [DBS3]: Please check the year in text and ref