

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_105781</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genetic variability analysis for growth and yield parameters in cluster bean (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.) under rainfed conditions</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, it is important for the selection through the variability of traits among the genotypes. 2. It needs to modify 3. No. Appropriate but needs to rewrite 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes, but it needs to include more references and include it in result and discussion within references Title should be modify which I am indicated. For abstract, materials, results, table, conclusion, It needs to modify which I mentioned with rewrite.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes. But the whole body of the manuscript must be rewrite use sophisticated words, grammar, sentences and language through the study of related literatures.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Over all this manuscript is good.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kamal Uddin Ahamed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Bangladesh</b>