

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105642
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and path analysis for growth, yield, quality and shoot and fruit borer incidence in brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> . L)
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Dear Editor,</p> <p>It is with great pleasure that I have been selected as a reviewer in your esteemed journal .There are some notes that I have included to make the research the most complete way and this does not diminish the value of the research at all which the author must take into consideration.</p> <p>As follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1-For arithmetic processes as shown in materials and methods, it is preferable to write equations numerically. 2-The standard unit must be written after each number, whether (cm, g, or %) as shown in the results. 3-Table 3 is not mentioned; it must be reviewed and included in the text, 4-Each table must be inserted directly after discussing its results. 5-Recommendations and declaration of interest are absent. 6-The author did not follow the rules of the journal in writing references, in addition to finding some errors in the names of the authors or the year of publication. It must be checked. 7-There were some linguistic errors, which were highlighted as shown in the sent form <p>In general, I accept to publish and I hope to deal with you again</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salwa Abdel Azizi Ezzat
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Research Center, Egypt