

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_105566</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of spacing and weed management practices on growth and yield of sesame</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES (But it was reviewed appropriately)</p> <p>YES (But it requires some corrections)</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES (But it requires addition)</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The title of the article need to be change as reviewed please</li> <li>2) The introduction is not sufficient enough for publication however, there is need for addition</li> <li>3) The author need to make recommendations based on the findings in the abstract and in the conclusion</li> <li>4) The methodology should be reviewed to include information about Net plot size, gross plot, number of rows used as well as software used for analysis eg SAS, SPSS etc and the version of the software</li> <li>5) On the result and discussion sufficient citations should be used</li> <li>6) On the discussion start with your own word based on the findings and then followed with other people's findings to back your own word this will make it more attractive and understandable please</li> <li>7) No any citations made on economic analysis and findings please check it</li> <li>8) The conclusion is not sufficiently enough for knowledge therefore you need to expand it</li> <li>9) On the tables there is need to indicate the foot note that will explain the significant differences among the treatment using DMRT, LSD etc while letters such as a b c d as the case may be used for ranking</li> <li>10) In the list of reference there are three number of references that were not cited in the text but was included while two was cited in the text but not included in the reference list please check it.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ibrahim Abdulrahman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Training School Tambu, Nigeria</b>