

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105507
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of phosphorus levels on growth and yield of Maize Hybrids
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>A bit ok. The author must follow all comments given in order to improve it.</p> <p>A bit ok. The author has the work to do, for its right orientation of it.</p> <p>Yes but data's are not good presented</p> <p>No, because for instance, the introduction is very poorer and not captivate. The author must redo it putting some references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Poor english which must be revised deeply</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>All comments are in the article. But briefly, the author have to redo the introduction, abstract and conclusion. He must work deeply also in the discussion improving its English with is weak and ununderstandable.</p> <p>The author have to use bibliographic tool in order to give reviewer the manner to check for originality of works referenced</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habineza Mpunga Jean Pierre
Department, University & Country	University of Liege, Belgium, University of Goma, Democratic Republic of Congo