

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105371
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review on Influence of N, Ca & Nano Fertilizers on Growth Yield and Quality of Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.).
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There are number of self contradictory statements though the authors tried to reconcile these statements but lacks specific explanations</p> <p>Rather the references are oversighted. Out of total 13 pages 7 pages are attributed to references only which may be required for review article</p> <p>Only point is that the authors site merely general statements but statements or suggestions pertaining to the subject matter are lacking.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes but at some places the refinement of writing is needed. Specially in writing references uniformity should be maintained</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is of topical interest and ,mainly thrusting on nutritional values pertaining to N and Calcium . Nano fertilisers ARE not fertilizers but mode of application. It would have been better to include other nutrients too which are important for strawberry like K, S, P and micronutrients.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gurjant Singh Sidhu
Department, University & Country	ICAR-NBSSLUP, College of Agriculture Guru Kashi University, India